Netherlands Enterprise Agency



# Site Studies Borssele Wind Farm Zone

Archaeological Assessment

>> Sustainable. Agricultural. Innovative. International.





# Archaeological assessment Borssele Windfarm Zones



Authors: R. van Lil S. van den Brenk

Commissioned by: Rijksdienst voor Ondernemend Nederland (RVO.nl) Netherlands Enterprise Agency

Document Control	
Revision	2.3 (final)
Date	07-06-2016
Periplus Archeomare Reference	16A001-02
RVO reference	WOZ1600015

Deliveral	bles	
Сору	То	Ву
1	RVO	Email: frank.vanerp@rvo.nl



Colophon

Periplus Archeomare Report 16A001-02

Archaeological assessment Borssele Windfarm Zones

Authors: R. van Lil and S. van den Brenk

Client: Netherlands Enterprise Agency (RVO.nl) Contact: F.C.W. van Erp

© Periplus Archeomare, June 2016 Photographs and drawings are owned by Periplus Archeomare, unless specified differently

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form or by any means without the prior permission of the Publisher. Periplus Archeomare BV does not accept any liability for damage resulting from the advice or the use of the results from this investigation.

ISSN 2352-9547

**Revision details** 

Revision	Description	Authors	Controlled by	Authorisation	Date
2.3	Final	RvL/ SvdB	BvM	BvM	07-06-2016
2.2	Final	RvL/ SvdB	BvM	BvM	07-06-2016
2.1	Final	RvL/ SvdB	BvM	BvM	06-06-2016
2.0	Final	RvL/ SvdB	BvM	BvM	25-05-2016
1.1	Draft	RvL/ SvdB	BvM	BvM	02-05-2016
1.0	Draft	RvL/ SvdB	BvM	BvM	20-04-2016

Authorisation:

B.E.J.M. van Mierlo



Periplus Archeomare Kraanspoor 14 1033 SE - Amsterdam Tel: 020-6367891 Fax: 020-6361865 Email: info@periplus.nl Website: www.periplus.nl

Front page: multibeam echo sounder image of 42 meters long unknown ship wreck in the Borssele Wind Farm Zones. Note extensive scouring north and south of the wreck.





# Content

Conte	ent	. 1
Sumn	nary	. 3
1	Introduction	. 7
1.1	Developments in offshore wind power	. 7
1.2	Borssele Wind Farm Zone	. 7
1.3	Motive	. 7
1.4	Site surveys	. 8
1.5	Purpose	. 9
1.6	Research questions	. 9
2	Methodology	10
2.1	Known objects	10
2.2	Archaeological assessment of survey data	10
2.3	Data Analysis	11
2.4	Used Sources	12
3	Known objects	13
3 4	Known objects	
-	-	18
4	Survey results	<b>18</b> 18
<b>4</b> 4.1	Seabed bathymetry and morphology	<b>18</b> 18 19
<b>4</b> 4.1 4.2	Seabed bathymetry and morphology	<b>18</b> 18 19 26
<b>4</b> 4.1 4.2 4.3	Seabed bathymetry and morphology Side scan sonar	<b>18</b> 19 26 <b>29</b>
4 4.1 4.2 4.3 5 6	Survey results	<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>26</li> <li>29</li> <li>32</li> </ol>
4 4.1 4.2 4.3 5 6 List o	Survey results	<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>26</li> <li>29</li> <li>32</li> <li>34</li> </ol>
4 4.1 4.2 4.3 5 6 List o List o	Survey results	<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>26</li> <li>29</li> <li>32</li> <li>34</li> <li>34</li> </ol>
4 4.1 4.2 4.3 5 6 List o List o Gloss	Survey results	<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>26</li> <li>29</li> <li>32</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> </ol>
4 4.1 4.2 4.3 5 6 List o List o Gloss Refer	Survey results	<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>26</li> <li>29</li> <li>32</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> </ol>
4 4.1 4.2 5 6 List o Gloss Refer Apper	Survey results	<ol> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>26</li> <li>29</li> <li>32</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> </ol>



Table 1. Du	tch archeological	periods
-------------	-------------------	---------

Period	Time in Years					
Post-medieval / Modern Times	1500	A.D.	-	Present		
Late medieval period	1050	A.D. A.D.	-	1500	A.D.	
Early medieval period	450	A.D.	-	1050	A.D.	
Roman Times	12	B.C.	-	450	A.D.	
Iron Age	800	B.C.	-	12	B.C.	
Bronze Age	2000	B.C.	-	800	B.C.	
Neolithic (New Stone Age)	5300	B.C.	-	2000	B.C.	
Mesolithic (Stone Age)	8800	B.C.	-	4900	B.C.	
Paleolithic (Early Stone Age)	300.000	B.C.	-	8800	B.C.	

Table 2. Administrative details of the research area

Project	Archeological assesment Borssele wind farm zones
Location	Northsea
Toponym	Borssele Wind Farm Zones
Chart Coordinates (ETRS89 UTM31N)	1801-01         Centre       E 496973, N 5726062         North       E 504374, N 5738878         East       E 509657, N 5731128         West       E 484179, N 5732483         South       E 504040, N 5713246
Archis cis-code	66109 (Desk study) 3993903100 (archaeological assessment)
Surface areas of investigation	Site I: 6325 ha Site II: 6778 ha Site III: 7921 ha (incl. Site V) Site IV: 7235 ha
Current area use	Shipping lane, fishery and recreation
Hydrographical data	Tidal currents, water depth between 15 and 42 meter LAT.
Area administrator	Ministry of Infrastructure and the Environment (Rijkswaterstaat Zee en Delta)
Authorities	Ministry of Economic Affairs with the Dutch Cultural Heritage Agency as advisor.





# Summary

On behalf of the Netherlands Enterprise Agency (RVO.nl) Periplus Archeomare has executed an archaeological assessment of the survey data collected in the future Wind Farm Zones Borssele.

The investigation comprised an assessment of geophysical data and a comparison with known objects in the area in order to identify potential archaeological objects. A large quantity of survey data (*side scan sonar magnetometer* and *multibeam* echosounder) was analysed in order to conduct an archaeological assessment.

Four of the assessed contacts are designated to be of potential archaeological value based on the dimensions and shapes of the contacts and supplemented information from existing observations. A summary of the locations with possible archaeological value are presented in the table and figure below.

Location type	Total	Corresponding to known objects (NCN)	Identified in survey data
Visible structures at surface	4	3	1
Buried ferrous structures	65	0	65



The wreck of the fishing cutter Alca Torda which sunk in the seventies of the 20<sup>th</sup> century is not considered to be of archaeological value.





A summary of the process which led to the identification of four possible archaeological objects in the *side scan sonar* data is outlined in the flow chart below.



Concerning the visible structures at the seabed surface. As long as the archaeological value of the remains is not determined, it is advised not to conduct disturbing activities on the locations including a buffer zone of 100 meters around. This also applies to cable trenching and anchorages of work vessels.

The buffer zone of 100 meters is a standard that applies to the protection of cultural heritage, this distance may be reduced if it can be substantiated that the applied disturbance has no effect on the archaeological object. For example, when no anchoring is used during cable lay operations the buffer zone can be decreased. Consent may be obtained after consultation with Rijkswaterstaat and the Cultural Heritage Agency.





Concerning the buried ferrous structures, it is advised to avoid such areas whilst installing wind turbines and constructing a trench for the cables. It should be stressed that the origin of the magnetic anomalies is unknown and apart from possible archaeological remains any type of man-made objects can be encountered including unexploded ammunition, anchors, pieces of chains and cables, debris, etcetera.

A summary of the process which led to the identification of 65 magnetometer anomalies which could represent possible archaeological objects is outlined in the flow chart below.



If it is not feasible to avoid the reported magnetometer locations, additional research is required in order to determine the actual archaeological value of the reported locations.





It is advised that the UXO research within 100 meter of the 65 magnetometer anomalies are carried out under onboard archaeological supervision. Depending on the outcome of the UXO research can be decided if additional research (for instance by means of ROV or dive investigations) is needed. If the UXO research indicates that the object has no archaeological value, the location can be omitted.

The cumulative surface areas occupied by side scan sonar and magnetometer buffer zones are shown in the figure below.



During the installation of the wind turbines and cable lay operations, archaeological objects may be discovered which were completely buried or not recognized as an archaeological object during the geophysical survey. We recommend passive archaeological supervision based on an approved program of requirements. Passive archaeological supervision means that an archaeologist is not present during the execution of the work but always available on call. Conducting this recommendation would prevent delays during the work when unexpectedly archaeological remains are found. In accordance with the Monuments Act 1988 (Revised 2007), it is required to report those findings to the competent authority. This notification must also be included in the scope of work.



# 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Developments in offshore wind power

In 2013 more than 40 organizations and the Government entered into the Energy Agreement for Sustainable Growth (Energieakkoord voor Duurzame Groei). An important part of this agreement includes scaling up of offshore wind power development. The Ministry of Economic Affairs presented a road map outlining how the Government plans to achieve its offshore wind goals in accordance with the time line agreed upon in the Energy Agreement.

The road map sets out a schedule of tenders offering 700 MW of development each year in the period 2015 – 2019. The Dutch Government has developed a systematic framework under which offshore wind farm zones are designated. Any locations outside these wind farm zones are not eligible to receive a permit. Within the designated wind farm zones the government decides the specific sites where wind farms can be constructed using a so-called Wind Farm Site Decision ('Kavelbesluit'). This contains conditions for building and operating a wind farm on a specific site. The Dutch transmission system operator TenneT will be responsible for grid connection.

Winners of the site development tenders will be granted a permit to build a wind farm according to the Offshore Wind Energy Act (Wet Windenergie op Zee1), a SDE+ grant and offered a grid connection to the main land. The Ministry (Rijksdienst voor ondernemend Nederland) provides all relevant site data, which can be used for the preparation of bids for these tenders.

#### **1.2 Borssele Wind Farm Zone**

One of the farms to be developed is the Borssele Wind Farm Zone (hereafter referred to as BWFZ).

The BWFZ, shown in figure 1, is located at the southern border of the Netherlands Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ); 0.5 km from the Belgium EEZ. The zone borders a sand extraction area in the southeast and a piloting area in the east. Anchoring areas and a shipping lane are located at the north side of the zone. The Belgian dedicated offshore wind zone is located directly to the southwest.

The BWFZ of approximately 344 km<sup>2</sup> (234 km<sup>2</sup> excluding maintenance and safety zones) is located 22.2 km from shore (12 nautical miles) and is initially sub-divided into four BWFS (Site I - IV). Currently BWFS III is split into production BWFS III (330 MW) and innovation BWFS V (20 MW). In the remainder of this report Site III refers to the initial area of WFS III including the current areas of Site III and Site V. Water depth approximately ranges from 16 to 38 m. In total, approximately 1,400 MW offshore wind capacity is planned in the zone.

# 1.3 Motive

Preservation of archaeological remains in the seabed (*in situ*) is to be strived for according to Dutch Law.<sup>2</sup> The development of the BWFZ can pose a potential threat to archaeological remains in the area. Archaeological research can result in information on the type, size, age, occurrence, integrity and preservation of remains which are known or can be expected in the BWFZ. Based on information which sprouts from archaeological research control measures can be taken to preserve valuable remains if applicable.

In 2014 an archaeological desk study has been performed.<sup>3</sup> From the study it was concluded that *in situ* early prehistoric archaeological remains can be present in areas where the late Pleistocene to early Holocene landscape is still preserved in the geological stratigraphy.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> http://wetten.overheid.nl/BWBR0036752.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Monuments Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Waugh 2014.



A second type of archaeological remains which is expected within the BWFZ comprises historic wrecks and other objects, such as lost equipment or cargo and crashed airplanes.

According to the authors the risk of degradation of prehistoric sites by the development of the BWFZ is small; additional research into the presence of prehistoric remains was therefore not considered necessary. An investigation into the presence of historic wrecks and crashed airplanes by means of geophysical survey, however, was advised. For this research a Schedule of Requirements (Dutch: Programma van Eisen) was drafted in which it was proposed to follow the advice of the desk study. Competent authorities (Dutch Cultural Heritage Agency (RCE) approved this Schedule of Requirementss.

#### 1.4 Site surveys

In 2015 two separate geophysical campaigns have been executed in the Borssele Wind Farm Zone (BWFZ). Site I and II were surveyed by DEEP BV, Site III and IV by Fugro Survey B.V.<sup>4</sup> The research areas were investigated with geophysical and geotechnical techniques. The purpose of these investigations was to establish an accurate geological model and to map possible obstructions in order to provide tendering parties with the information needed and to assist future developers in their construction decisions.

Periplus Archeomare analysed a small portion of the data acquired by Fugro in Site III an Site IV in order to determine if these data are fit for the identification of possible archaeological objects in the areas.<sup>5</sup> This pilot has shown that the quality and resolution of the geophysical data is sufficient for a first archaeological assessment of the observed contacts and described objects.



Figure 1. Overview of the research area



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Marchetti 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Brenk 2016.



# 1.5 Purpose

The purpose of this investigation is to assess and interpret the possible presence of archaeological remains which are to be expected within the BWFZ by an analysis of geophysical data.

This investigation comprises an assessment of pre-installation survey data. The data acquired during the geophysical surveys are analysed to identify potential archaeological sites, and to cross-reference against the findings of the desk study. The investigation focuses on shipwrecks, lost goods and airplanes from WWII which are exposed on the seabed. Buried objects which have induced scouring and disturbance of the natural seabed morphology are also included in this research.

Completely buried objects cannot be identified by means of the geophysical equipment deployed. Following the advice of the desk study, archaeological remains of prehistoric settlements are not investigated.

The research is executed in March/April 2016 by R, van Lil and S. van den Brenk (both KNA senior prospector).

# 1.6 Research questions

For this investigation different research questions are defined in the authorised Schedule of Requirements:<sup>6</sup>

• Are there any phenomena visible on the seabed?

If so:

- How are these phenomena described?
- Do these phenomena reflect the presence of anthropogenic or natural objects or structures?

If these phenomena are identified as anthropogenic:

• Do the anthropogenic objects or structures represent potential archaeological remains?

If there are archaeological objects:

• Is it possible to identify these objects and prioritize them?

If these phenomena are identified as natural:

- What kind of natural phenomena are identified?
- Is it possible, according the acoustic images, to describe morphological zones with a high, middle or low sedimentary activity of the seabed?

If so:

• How is this activity interpreted?

General questions:

- What is the relation between recorded objects and the morphology of the seabed?
- Is it according to this relationship possible to identify areas in which anthropogenic objects are to be expected?
- When no acoustic phenomena are found, can this be the result of erosion, sedimentation or human interfering?
- Which precautions are necessary to prevent the disturbance of possible archaeological remains?

Buried objects:

• Do seismic data indicate the presence of buried objects?

If so:

- Can by correlating the SBP targets with SSS, MBES and MAG data be concluded upon the character of the buried object?
- Should measures to be taken in order to prevent detoriation of possible archaeological values?



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Van den Brenk en van den Oever 2015.



# 2 Methodology

#### 2.1 Known objects

The survey companies have summarized the *side scan sonar* contacts and *magnetometer* anomalies encountered within the BWFZ in detailed event listings. From different databases the occurrence of objects within the BWFZ is known. The contacts included in the survey event listings are compared with the database objects in the area. For this comparison four different datasets are used:

- the Hydrographic Service database
- (hereafter referred to as NLhono database);
- the Rijkswaterstaat Sonarreg database (hereafter referred to SR database);
- Dutch Cultural Heritage Agency database ARCHIS;
- The Dutch Nationaal Contact Nummer database (hereafter referred to as NCN);

#### The National Contact Number (NCN)

The NCN database combines the data from three governmental databases:

- The Dutch Continental Shelf and Westerschelde wrecks register from the Hydrographic Service of the Royal Netherlands Navy;
- The SonarReg92 object database of Rijkswaterstaat;
- The ARCHIS database (the official archaeological database of the Ministry of Cultural Heritage)

The permission for the use of the NCN database for the analysis was granted by the owner (Rijkswaterstaat Sea and Delta)

The NCN database contains all basic information (E, N and description) of the NLhono, SR and Archis databases. More detailed information is gathered through the other datasets.

In addition to ship wrecks information on contacts referred to as 'foul' or 'obstruction' is included. From these objects the origin is not always known, but information on the location, dimensions and other valuable information is listed. Besides the databases other sources containing information on wrecks and historic finds are consulted for comparison with the survey results.

All known data is combined and plotted in a GIS. In this way an overview is made of the areas in which archaeological remains are present or to be expected. The known contacts are a reference framework for the assessment of data recorded during the route survey.

# 2.2 Archaeological assessment of survey data

The geophysical and hydrographic survey techniques employed include *side scan sonar* (SSS), *magnetometer* (MAG), *multibeam* (MBES) and subbottom profiling (SBP). With *side scan sonar* all objects and structures on the seabed can be made visible. Seabed sediment of different composition can be distinguished by their characteristic reflection. *Multibeam* images reveal the morphology of the seabed. Large objects and scouring can be mapped. Smaller objects, like thin cables, or flat objects lying on the seabed often are impossible to identify in *multibeam* images.

*Magnetometer* contacts are identified by the presence of ferro-metalic objects which induce an anomaly in the earth magnetic field. These objects can be buried or lying on the seabed. Unlike *side scan sonar* and *multibeam* the contacts are tagged at the sailed survey line. The actual object can be located at both sides of the survey line. Given the 100 meter spacing of the run lines the accuracy of the position is in this same order: 100 meter.





DEEP and Fugro processed their survey data and produced detailed event listings of the *side scan sonar* en *magnetometer* contacts encountered within the survey areas. Alike the known objects the locations of the contacts are plotted in a GIS.

In the course of this archaeological assessment a selection is made based on the dimensions of the reported contacts. The fraction of contacts larger than or equal to four (4) meter is looked into in more detail. Purpose of this analysis is to identify contacts that could reflect potential archaeological sites.

This is done by analyses of:

- side scan sonar images included in the survey reports;
- raw side scan sonar data (XTF-files) in SonarWiz;
- raw multibeam-data (xyz-files) in Autoclean and Global Mapper;
- values of magnetic anomalies reported in the survey reports;
- comparison of *side scan sonar* and *magnetometer* contacts;

Apart from the survey data studied the geological constellation and seabed morphology of the area are taken into account as outcrops of geological strata and sedimentary structures can lead to (apparent) anomalies in the *side scan sonar* record.

The *side scan sonar* images are scanned in order to define potential archaeological sites. A selection of contacts was made of contacts to be studied in detail. The interpretation of *side scan sonar* contacts is based on best professional judgement. In order to identify the exact nature of the contacts observed with certainty additional research by means of a ROV or divers shall be needed.

#### 2.3 Data Analysis

The first step in the data analysis is to cross-reference known objects within the surveyed area with the survey data. For the comparison the results of the desk study and the survey datasets were used. All the known objects were projected in a GIS together with the survey data.

For the cross-reference we have assumed that all present possible contacts and anomalies have been reported and described by the survey contractors. Only the raw data is used, when available, to verify the description of found objects and anomalies as reported.

The positions of the interpreted contacts from the different surveys were compared with the positions of the known objects collected from the databases. Besides that, all the positions of both the survey contacts and the known objects were plotted on the high resolution *multibeam* grid to visualize the morphological influence of the presence of these objects. This assisted in the determination of possible archaeological value of the present remains. If an object had a potential archaeological value, the description of the object was finalised.

Besides the objects detected from the *side scan sonar* survey also the *magnetometer* contacts were plotted on the high resolution *multibeam* grid. For the *magnetometer* contacts that corresponded with the *side scan sonar* contacts within 25 meters of each other, these contacts were considered to be related. When at the position of the *magnetometer* anomaly no visible object was recognized the size of the anomaly was leading. If the magnetic anomaly of a contact is more than 50 nT (nano-Tesla) then it is stated that the contact could possibly be of archaeological value. All the *magnetometer* contacts above 50 nT but within 25 meter of the existing cable and pipeline routes are exempt for further investigation. It has to be stressed that within this assessment no distinction can be made between anomalies related to possible archaeological objects or anomalies related to (for example) unexploded ordinance (UXO's).

An archaeological assessment has been undertaken for all visible contacts. This interpretation is based on best 'professional judgment'.





The research is executed in March and April 2015 by R. van Lil (KNA senior prospector) and S. van den Brenk (KNA senior prospector). The investigation is carried out according to specifications set up within the Dutch Quality Standard for Archaeology (*KNA waterbodems 3.2; protocol 4103*).

# 2.4 Used Sources

The following sources were used for the analysis:

- Survey data Fugro and DEEP, original survey data and reported interpretations;
- Archaeological desk study Vestigia;
- Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) desk study REASeuro;
- ARCHIS database Cultural Heritage Agency;
- Archeomare Database;
- NLhono database Hydrographic Service of the Royal Netherlands Navy;
- Wrecksite.eu;
- Database, Nationaal Contact Nummer (NCN).

For a complete list of used sources and literature see the reference list at page 36.

Italic written words are explained in the glossary at page 35.



# 3 Known objects

For the archaeological desk study different existing datasets of known archaeological objects and obstructions were combined and visualised in a GIS (see figure 2). Objects of archaeological importance, such as wrecks, but also obstructions were mapped and selected.

The NCN, NLhono, SR and ARCHIS databases contain twenty-one (21) possible archaeological objects and obstructions which are located in the BWFZ or in the vicinity of the planned wind farm. In addition to the objects of potential archaeological importance three (3) locations which according to a UXO study performed by REASeuro could contain possible explosives are displayed in figure 2.<sup>7</sup>

The known objects are displayed in figure 2 and listed in table 2.



Figure 2. Known obstructions and wrecks

Ten (10) of the objects are labelled as ship wreck. Two (2) wrecks are supposedly located within the BWFZ; three (3) within the 500m safety zone and five (5) outside the safety zone but still in the vicinity of the BWFZ.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Van den Berg 2014.



Note that the latter are included in this report as the accuracy of the positions of both obstructions and wrecks in the databases consulted can vary.

Eleven (11) of the objects are described as obstruction. Six (6) obstructions are supposedly located within the BWFZ; three (3) within the 500m safety zone and three (3) outside the safety zone. Three (3) possible explosives are not included in table 3.

WFS	NCN	NLhono	SR92	ARCHIS	Туре	ETRSX	ETRSY	L (m)*	W (m)*	Remark
I	1690	1738			Wreck	501841	5733981	44	8	Reported 1980, R95=5m, no additional information
I	2947	3671			Obstruction	505913	5730373			Foul, reported 2010, R95=5m, mbeam recording RWS 2012
Outside I	1695	1747			Wreck	508774	5734149	16	2	Dutch tug boat "Frans", sunk 12-10-1977, R95=5m
II	2936	3658			Obstruction	506163	5720019			Foul, reported 2010, R95=5m
Buffer II	2496	2902	8379		Wreck	508262	5722946	28	3	HMS Simoom, length 28m, R95=5m, mbeam images RWS 2011 & 2012;
Buffer II	2935	3657			Obstruction	501462	5717911			Foul, reported 2010, R95=5m
Buffer II	2938	3660			Obstruction	508298	5721466			Foul, reported 2010, R95=5m
Buffer II	2939	3661			Obstruction	508528	5722826			Reported 2010, R95=5m
Outside II	2930	3652			Wreck	507991	5718757	12	5	R95=5m, no additional information
Outside II	2937	3659			Obstruction	508631	5720033			Foul, reported 2010, R95=5m
111	1673	1703			Wreck	496448	5722577			Theoretical location wreck Alca Torda, actual location is 6,8 km to the north (NLhono 1723), no wreck at location NLhono 1703
III	2942	3666			Obstruction	497789	5726478			Foul, reported 2010, R95=5m
Outside III	1666	1693			Wreck	498483	5717818			Reported 1977, R95=1000m, no additional information
Outside III	2389	2730			Wreck	497668	5717561	40		Reported 1990, R95=5m, no additional information
IV	2924	3644			Obstruction	489533	5730228			Foul, reported 2010, R95=5m
IV	2925	3645			Obstruction	490156	5731141			Foul, reported 2010, R95=5m
IV	2926	3646			Obstruction	493046	5734607			Foul, reported 2010, R95=5m
Buffer IV	1678	1714			Wreck	490861	5725735			Fishing vessel, reported 1910 showing mast, R95=5m, no additional information
Outside IV	2335	2595			Wreck	489224	5735928			Wreck Copenhagen? Length 127m, Roll-on Roll- off Ferry, Finland, reported 1988, R95=5m





WFS	NCN	NLhono	SR92	ARCHIS	Туре	ETRSX	ETRSY	L (m)*	W (m)*	Remark
Buffer III & V	1684	1723	12173		Wreck	500719	5727925	17	4	Actual location wreck Alca Torda, length 17m, mbeam recording RWS 2012, R95=5m
Cable corridor	2944	3668	12157		Obstruction	509513	5725743	3	3	Reported 2010, R95=5m, SR: H=0.4m

Table 3. Known objects (excluding UXO) within 2 kilometer from the BWFZ

\* based on NLhono; measured dimensions in SR might differ.

All contacts listed occur both in the NCN and NLhono database. Three (3) contacts comprising two (2) wrecks and an obstruction are also contained in the SonarReg (SR) database.

None of the contacts has been found in the archaeological database Archis. The SR database contains images of which a selection is shown in figure 3.



Figure 3. SR-images

Additional research within various databases provided valuable information on the wreck sites which occur in or near the BWFZ.

#### Alca Torda

Wreck NCN1684/SR12173 has been identified as the Belgian cutter 'Alca Torda' which sunk in 1973. The database of the Hydrographic service placed the wreck at the incorrect location (NLhono1703 instead of NLhono1723). The incorrect location was adopted by the desk study and Fugro.

The wreck is not considered to be of archaeological value. Therefore its presence will not - from an archaeological point of view - jeopardize the development of the wind farm.







Figure 4. Photograph of the Alca Torda before sinking in 1973





#### **HMS Simoom**

According to the database information NCN2494/SR8379 has been identified as the 'HMS Simoom'. The SR database dimensions are: L=28m; W=3m. The internet site www.wrecksite.eu contains two (2) wrecks named HMS Simoom.

One wreck concerns a British submarine built in 1941 and sunk by a mine 'in the Aegian Sea' in1943; the other wreck is a British destroyer built in 1916 and scuttled by a torpedo the night of January 22nd, 1917. According to www.wrecksite.eu the 1916 steamer HMS Simoom is located 9 kilometer east of the NCN-database location: 518435E/5727220N. This ship however is larger than the wreck found: 84,1 x 8,2 x 2,8m. Possibly part of the wreck exposed at the seabed is smaller than the total length of the wreck. In other words part of the wreck can be buried. The certainty with which the wreck was identified as HMS Simoom is not known. So it cannot fully be excluded the actual wreck present is that of another ship than the HMS Simoom.



Figure 5. Photograph of the HMS Simoom (1916)

#### **Tugboat Frans**

On October 12, 1977 at 5 o'clock in the morning the Dutch tugboat Frans was on its way from Rotterdam to Bordeaux when it rapidly started to take on water.<sup>8</sup> According to the survivors the ship sunk in seconds. The cause remains a mystery. From the six crew member, four were rescued by the Dutch coaster Gretina Holwerda. Two crew members drowned.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Dagblad Het vrije volk, 12-10-1977.



# 4 Survey results

# 4.1 Seabed bathymetry and morphology

The seabed morphology is characterized by the presence of southwest - northeast trending sand waves. Superposed on these sand waves northwest - southeast trending mega current ripples have developed. In the lower lying areas between the sand waves the presence of mobile sands. Tertiary clayey deposits could be present in these areas at or near the seabed surface.



Figure 6. Bathymetry map of the Borssele Wind Farm Zones

Within the BWFZ including a 500 meter safety zone one thousand three hundred and twenty two (1322) *side scan sonar* contacts and two thousand five hundred and fifty three (2553) *magnetometer* contacts are recorded and reported. Table 4 shows the number of *side scan sonar* and *magnetometer* contacts found in each of the four wind farm sites.





		Number o	f contacts
BWFS	Survey company	Side scan sonar	Magnetometer
I	DEEP	224	598
II	DEEP	215	266
III	FUGRO	234	685
IV	FUGRO	649	1004
	Total	1322	2553

Table 4. Number of side scan sonar and magnetometer contacts tagged by DEEP and FUGRO

# 4.2 Side scan sonar

The interpretation of the *side scan sonar* contacts by DEEP and FUGRO is summarized below. The contacts referred to as contact, objects and (linear) debris include unknown objects which can be man-made or of natural origin.

	Contact / Object	Expo Incl. Freesp		Wreck or	
BWFS or (linear) Debris		Pipeline	Cable	Wreck Debris	Anchor
I	39	152	26	7	
II	95	100	16	3	1
III	232	1		1	
IV	648	1			
Total	1014	254	42	11	1

Table 5. Interpretation of SSS-contacts by DEEP and FUGRO

All *side scan sonar* contact images delivered by the survey companies have been scanned and checked for the presence of potential archaeological contacts. This is done by analyses of:

- side scan sonar images included in the survey reports;
- raw side scan sonar data (XTF-files) in SonarWiz;
- raw multibeam-data (xyz-files) in Autoclean and Global Mapper;
- comparison of *side scan sonar* and magnetometer contacts.

Apart from the survey data studied the geological constellation and seabed morphology of the area are taken into account as outcrops of geological strata and sedimentary structures can lead to (apparent) anomalies in the *side scan sonar* record.

The interpretation of *side scan sonar* contacts is based on best professional judgement. In order to identify the nature of the contacts observed with certainty additional research by means of a ROV or divers is needed.

All contacts larger than four (4) meter are examined in detail. This selection of large contacts comprises a total one hundred and seventy seven (177) contacts. Contacts identified by the survey companies as pipelines and cables are not included in this selection. For a complete listing of the result of this examination is referred to Appendix 1. A summary of the outcome of the detailed inspection of selected contacts is presented in table 6.

From table 6 can be read that eighty three (83) contacts, or forty seven (47) percent, are interpreted as natural phenomenon. These natural phenomena comprise sand ripples, isolated shell beds and outcrops of clay amidst a predominantly sandy seabed. The sonar images often display elongated contacts with a granular reflection which is alike the surrounding seabed. Also elongated contacts with a hard granular reflection occur which, based on the analysis of *multibeam* images are recognized as sand ripples. The contacts that are interpreted as shell beds display isolated high reflective areas with no shadows. Local outcrops of clay lead to an anomalous morphology of the seabed with the surrounding sandy seabed in which sand ripples have developed.





Interpretation Periplus	Number
natural phenomenon	83
unknown object	53
cable	19
cable or rope	5
cable or pipeline	3
pipeline	1
anchor	1
wrecks	3
wreck debris	7
possible wrecks	2
Total	177

Table 6. Result of the assessment of selected side scan sonar contacts

Fifty three (53) contacts are classified as unknown object. The character of these contacts cannot be determined with certainty by means of the data available. Twenty six *side scan sonar* contacts can be identified on *multibeam* images.

A total of twenty eight (28) linear contacts are observed which are interpreted to reflect man-made objects consisting of (pieces of) cables, pipelines or rope. At one (1) location an anchor could be present. This interpretation is based on the dimensions and occurrence in the *side scan sonar* image. However, no magnetic anomaly is tagged in the neighbourhood of the contact so the interpretation is to be considered uncertain.

The three (3) wrecks listed in table 6 are wrecks known from the NLhono database. These wrecks presumably concern the HMS Simoom, the Alca Torda and a wreck which has not yet been identified. The seven (7) items of wreck debris are all linked to these known wrecks: six (6) are linked to the unidentified wreck and one (1) is linked to the HMS Simoom.

At two (2) locations possible wrecks have been found. According to the NLhono database an obstruction/foul has been encountered (NCN2936/NLhono3658) at one of these locations. Thus, the object found is known in the database but has not been interpreted as possible wreck before. The second object found possibly is a 'new' wreck which has not been encountered before.

Below the (possible) wreck sites will be described in more detail.

#### S0612: possible wreck

Contact S0612 is classified as a possible ship wreck. The location of this contact is 492046E/ 5728215N (As Found on MBES). The contact shows on the *multibeam* images internal northwest-southeast trending elongated structures with some scouring on north and south side of contact. The sonar image shows an elongated contact with hard reflection and clear shadow surrounded by a large number of point reflections. The point reflections do not display clear shadows and might reflect the presence of cobbles.

The dimensions based on the *multibeam* images are: Length=7.0m; Width=5.0m; Height=0.9m. The contact concerns a possible wreck that is located in BWFSIV and is not included in one of the databases studied. No *magnetometer* contact could be linked to S0612.







Figure 7. Side scan sonar contact S0612 in BWFSIV possible wreck site

#### Known objects

At four (4) sites *side scan sonar* contacts have been observed which can be linked to wrecks (3) and a foul (1) known from the databases consulted prior to this assessment. Three of the sites are very likely to be the wreck sites known from the databases. The location of the fourth, contact SSS-WFS2-712, exactly corresponds to the location of contact NCN2935/NLhono3658 which is labelled as 'foul' in the databases.

BWFS	Contact nr	NCN / NLhono	Name		
I	SSS-WFS1-117		unknown wreck		
I	SSS-WFS1-120				
I	SSS-WFS1-122	1690/1738			
I	SSS-WFS1-129				
I	SSS-WFS1-130				
I	SSS-WFS1-131				
I	SSS-WFS1-132				
II	SSS-WFS2-693	2496/2902	HMS Simoom		
II	SSS-WFS2-698	2490/2902			
	SSS-WFS2-712	2936/3658	unknown / foul		
=	S0639	1684/1723	Alca Torda		

Table 7. Known objects





#### SSS-WFS1-122: unknown wreck

Contact SSS-WFS1-122 reflects the presence of a ship wreck. The wreck location resembles closely the location of NCN1690/NLhono1783. Based on the current MBES-data the centre location is determined at 501848E/5733986N Also the length and width of the wreck correspond to a large extend with the database figures. The wreck dimensions based on MBES data are: L=42.0 meter (measured along the starboard side) / L=46.0 meter (measured along the portside); W=8.0 meter; H=7.0 meter. No identification of the ship that has sunk at this location. Based on the he wreck could be that of a fishing trawler. *Magnetometer* anomalies are observed at two lines sailed parallel to the wreck site.



Figure 8. Contact SSS-WFS1-122 wreck (NCN 1690)

#### SSS-WFS1-693: wreck HMS Simoom?

Contact SSS-WFS-693 indicates the presence of a large ship wreck. The database location of this wreck is 518435E/5727220N. The wreck lies sixty nine (69) meters outside BWFSII. The dimensions of the wreck





determined in the sonar data are: L=41.9 x W=8.4 x H=2.8. The width and height of the wreck are in correspondence with the dimensions of the HMS Simoom as registered at wrecksite.eu. The length registered in wrecksite.eu however, is considerably larger: 84.1m. Unfortunately no *multibeam*data are available to provide us with additional information on the dimensions. Possibly part of the wreck is buried, or the wreck at this location is not the Simoom.



Figure 9. Contact SSS-WFS2-636 wreck (NCN2496)

#### SSS-WFS2-712: possible wreck

From the *side scan sonar* image of contact SSS-WFS2-712 can be seen that the contact only displays only a slightly harder reflection than the surrounding seabed. The shadow however is very marked. The *multibeam* image is consistent with the *side scan sonar* image as a prominent depression is seen with only a slight elevation with respect to the surrounding seabed. At this location most likely a buried object is present. This object could be a wreck, though the interpretation has to be labelled 'uncertain'. As indicated before this location corresponds with the location of NCN2936/NLhono3658. The position based on MBES data is 506164 E/5720017N. The dimensions are L=9.8; W=4.3; H=1.9.







Figure 10. contact SSS-WFS2-712 (NCN2936)

#### S0639: wreck Alca Torda

The Fugro report of BWFSIII assumes that the NLhono locations 3644, 2645 and 3646 contains shipwrecks, while they are actually described by the Hydrographic Office as unknown objects causing possible obstructions.

Within BWFSI and BWFSII only one clear shipwreck is found (location NLhono 1723). Additional research of historical data prove this to be the wreck of the 'Alca Torda'. The initial location of the 'Alca Torda' was placed 6.8 km to the south (NLhono 1723), with a position accuracy of 1 km. At this location, no evidence was found of a ship wreck. From the data and additional research it may be concluded the unknown wreck at location 1723 is actually the wreck of the 'Alca Torda', and there is no wreck at location 1703.

The quality of the data set suffices for the identification of the ship wreck as such. The identification is supported by the integration of the different types of geophysical data available: SSS, MBES, MAGGY and SBP. The wreck is embedded in sandy sediments. The seabed is characterized by the presence of megaripples. Considerable scouring caused by tidal currents is observed both northeast as southwest of the wreck. In the surroundings *side scan sonar* contacts are observed which possibly are linked to the wreck site.

The centre location of the site determined from MBES data is 500728E/5727924. The dimensions of the wreck are L=17.5 x W=3.9 x H=2.8.







Figure 11. Side scan sonar image of the wreck draped over the multibeam model



Figure 12. Raw multibeamsoundings (left) and colour image of the multibeamdata of the wreck Alca Torda







# 4.3 Magnetometer

Besides the objects that are visible on the geophysical data and are selected as possibly archaeological valuable there also are large *magnetometer* anomalies which are not observed on the *side scan sonar* or *multibeam* data. Although the nature of these objects is not known it is possible that the anomalies represent archaeological remains buried in the seabed, and therefore have to be taken into account within this assessment.

The survey companies themselves made a correlation between *side scan sonar* contact and *magnetometer* contacts. This resulted in nineteen (19) *side scan sonar* contacts with coherent *magnetometer* contacts. From these eighteen (19) three (1) are related to known cables, one (1) to the Zeepipe pipeline and one (1) to a wreck, leaving sixteen (16) contacts which reflect the presence of unknown presumably man-made objects.

Two (2) *magnetometer* anomalies (WFS1-MAG-130 and WFS1-MAG-132) have been linked to wreck site NCN1690NLhono/1738, but no connection has been made between the *side scan sonar* contacts present at this site, despite the observation that the location of sonar contact SSS-WFS1-122 (wreck) corresponds with the database location of this wreck.

Correlation between *side scan sonar* and *magnetometer* contacts is poor. However, a lot of the *magnetometer* contacts can be traced to existing pipelines, cables and (parts of) cables that are out of use. Also part of the *magnetometer* anomalies can be linked to *side scan sonar* contacts which all have been archaeologically assessed. This correlation provides us with information on the magnetometer contacts plot on the sailed lines. Therefore, the actual object causing the anomaly can be located at some distance both on the starboard side and on port side of the sailed line. Given a line spacing of one hundred (100) meter it is clear that from the proximity of *side scan sonar* and *magnetometer* contacts not necessarily can be concluded that those contacts reflect one and the same object.

#### Correlating magnetometer anomalies and side scan sonar contacts

Periplus Archeomare has made a spacial correlation has been made between the observed *side scan sonar* contacts and *magnetometer* anomalies. This spacial correlation concerns both *side scan sonar* contacts which plot within a radius of 50 meter of a *magnetometer* anomaly and *magnetometer* anomalies which plot within a radius of 50 meter of a *side scan sonar* contact.

This exercitation results a total of three hundred and two (302) *side scan sonar* contacts which are encountered within 50 meters from a *magnetometer* anomaly and three hundred and fifty five (355) *magnetometer* anomalies which are located within 50 meters from a *side scan sonar* contact. In some cases two *magnetometer* anomalies are related to one *side scan sonar* object, which is located in between two sailed lines. At some locations on the other hand, two or more *side scan sonar* contacts have been found within 50 meters of a *magnetometer* anomaly.

Out of the three hundred and two (302) *side scan sonar* contacts two hundred and five (205) are located within 50 meters from known existing cables and (parts of) cables that are out of use; thirteen (13) more are part of a range of *magnetometer* contacts lining up and are therefore also considered to reflect the presence of cables or pipelines. So, eighty four (84) *side scan sonar* contacts are not related to known or assumed cables or pipelines. Eight (8) out of these eighty four (84) contacts can be correlated with *magnetometer* anomalies induced by the three known wreck sites in the area. Five (5) *side scan sonar* contacts can be correlated with a 1963nT magnetic anomaly induced by the unknown wreck in WFS I (SSS-WFS1-122); two (2) *side scan sonar* contacts can be correlated with a 166nT magnetic anomaly induced by the supposed wreck of the HMS Simoom in WFS II; one (1) *side scan sonar* contacts can be correlated with two magnetic anomalies (32nT and 47nT) induced by the wreck of the Alca Torda. The remainder of contacts, seventy six (76) in total, are unknown objects.



#### Isolated magnetometer anomalies

In order to identify objects which could be of archaeological value a selection is made of magnetic anomalies larger than 50nT. A total of three hundred and seventy five (375) anomalies meet the criterion of 50nT including one hundred and ninety (190) cable anomalies and ninety eight (98) pipeline anomalies. The remaining eighty four (84) anomalies include magnetic anomalies at the known wreck sites and unidentified objects.

Seventy four (74) of the three hundred and seventy five (375) anomalies are not within 50 meter from a *side scan sonar* contact; also those contacts have not been interpreted by the survey companies as an existing pipeline or cable.

Periplus has compared the seventy four (74) *magnetometer* anomalies in GIS with the existing infrastructure.<sup>9</sup> Thus another nine (9) anomalies could be linked with existing pipelines and cables in the area. From the remaining sixty five (65) anomalies are considered to be of possible archaeological interest. Forty eight (42) of these *magnetometer* anomalies are in the range of 50 - 100nT; Twenty five (22) in the range of 100 - 500 and one (1) in the range larger than 500 nT.

Three (3) anomalies are possibly related to known objects. Two (2) of these anomalies (MAG-WFS3-M1447 and MAG-WFS3-M1624) possibly correlate with wreck NCN1666/ NLhono1693, though it has to be said that the database position of this wreck is very inaccurate (less than 1000 meter) and therefore also the link between the anomalies tagged and this wreck is uncertain. One (1) anomaly (MAG-WFS4-M0862) possibly concerns debris related to NCN2924/NLhono3644 which according to the databases is considered to be 'foul'.

The *magnetometer* contacts of potential archaeological interest are shown in figure 13 and summarised in table 8. A listing of all *magnetometer* anomalies can be found in Appendix 3.

BWFS	>50 and <100nT	>100 and <500nT	>500nT	Total
I	3	-	-	3
II	7	1	-	8
III	13	12	1	26
IV	19	9	-	28
Total	·			65

Table 8. Number of magnetic anomalies of potential archaeological interest: larger than 50nT and not correlated with side scan sonar contacts



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Rijkswaterstaat North Sea database, update July 1, 2015.





Figure 13. Magnetometer contacts of potential archaeological interest





# 5 Synthesis

For this investigation different research questions are defined in the authorized Schedule of Requirements:

#### Are there any phenomena visible on the seabed?

Within the BWFZ including a 500 meter safety zone one thousand three hundred and twenty two (1322) *side scan sonar* contacts and two thousand five hundred and fifty three (2553) *magnetometer* contacts are recorded and reported by both the survey companies Fugro and DEEP. For the archaeological assessment an interpretation has been carried out to distinguish natural from man-made and the possible archaeological value.

Within the survey area three (3) known wrecks and two (2) possible wrecks have been found. At the wreck sites twelve (12) side scan contacts have been identified which possibly are part of the wreck sites.

BWFS	SSS-nr	E_ETRS89	N_ETRS89	Interpretation	L (m)	W (m)	H (m)	NCN/	Name
								NLhono	
I	SSS-WFS1-122	501844	5733983	wreck	42.0	8.0	7.0	1690/1738	unknown
II	SSS-WFS2-693	508262	5722942	wreck	41.9	8.4	2.8	2496/2902	HMS Simoom
II	SSS-WFS2-712	506164	5720017	possible wreck	9.8	4.3	1.9	2936/3658	unknown/foul
	S0639	500728	5727924	wreck	17.5	3.9	2.8	1684/1723	Alca Torda
IV	S0612	492046	5728215	possible wreck	7.0	5.0	0.9	-	unknown

Table 9. Summary of (possible) wreck sites

From the *magnetometer* data sixty five (65) extra objects were selected with an anomaly of 50 nT or more which could not be correlated with *side scan sonar* contacts or known pipelines and cables. These anomalies could represent larger objects buried in the seabed with a possible archaeological value.

If so:

How are these phenomena described?

The observed phenomena can be characterized as both natural and man-made.

#### Do these phenomena reflect the presence of anthropogenic or natural objects or structures?

Three (3) wrecks and two (2) possible wreck sites have been identified. At those five (5) sites a total of twelve (12) *side scan sonar* contacts have been tagged which supposedly comprise wrecks or wreck related remains. One (1) anchor is recognised, two hundred and ninety six (296) *side scan sonar* contacts are related to exposures of pipelines and cables within the area and the rest are smaller man-made and natural contacts. The observed *magnetometer* contacts are considered to be anthropogenic, though the presence of natural phenomena causing magnetic anomalies cannot be excluded. For instance outcrops of clayey Tertiary deposits alternating with sand ripples could result in local fluctuations in the earth magnetic field inducing apparent magnetic anomalies. This might explain the difference in the amount of magnetic anomalies found in BWFS I/II and BWFS III/IV.

#### If these phenomena are identified as anthropogenic:

Do the anthropogenic objects or structures represent potential archaeological remains? At four (4) locations objects are observed which could reflect the presence of possible archaeological remains.

#### If there are archaeological objects:

Is it possible to identify these objects and prioritize them?

No, in this stadium it is not possible to identify these objects and prioritize these objects. One (1) object might be the 1916 built war ship HMS Simoom, but the identification cannot be considered to be certain.

If these phenomena are identified as natural: What kind of natural phenomena are identified?





The natural phenomena are related to geological or geomorphological phenomena, ripple fields, shell beds and trawler scars. The natural phenomena are not selected and reported in this archaeological assessment, because they do not contain an archaeological value.

# Is it possible, according the acoustic images, to describe morphological zones with a high, middle or low sedimentary activity of the seabed?

Different zones can be recognised. Overall the Borssele consists of a sandy seabed. Were shell beds are present a higher reflection is recognised. Very locally outcrops of clay may be present.

#### If so: How is this activity interpreted?

In general the sedimentary activity of the seabed is considered uniform throughout the area, with a predominant bottom current direction SSW-NNE.

#### General questions:

What is the relation between recorded objects and the morphology of the seabed? Where objects are protruding from the seabed a scour mark is visible on the NNE side of the objects, indicating the predominant current direction near the seabed.

Is it - according to this relationship - possible to identify areas in which anthropogenic objects are to be expected?

Within the *multibeam* data some areas are recognised as scouring which are directly related to the presence of objects in the seabed.

When no acoustic phenomena are found, can this be the result of erosion, sedimentation or human interfering? There is no indication that human interfering has had any influence on the acoustic nature of the seabed.

#### Which precautions are necessary to prevent the disturbance of possible archaeological remains?

For the two wreck site areas an initial buffer zone of 100 meters is to be respected around the possible archaeological objects as long as the actual nature is of these objects is not established. If these objects are indeed of archaeological nature more investigation on these objects need to be performed to determine whether these objects are of value.

#### Buried objects:

Do seismic data indicate the presence of buried objects? No objects are known to be detected from the seismic data.

If so:

Can - by correlating the SBP targets with SSS, MBES and MAG data - be concluded upon the character of the buried object?

Not applicable.

Should measures to be taken in order to prevent detoriation of possible archaeological values? Concerning the three reported visible structures at the seabed surface. As long as the archaeological value of the remains is not determined, it is advised not to conduct disturbing activities on the locations (including a buffer zone of 100 meters around). This also applies cable trenching and anchorages of work vessels.

The buffer zone of 100 meters is a standard that applies to the protection of cultural heritage, this distance may be reduced if it can be substantiated that the applied disturbance has no effect on the archaeological object. For example, when no anchoring is used during cable lay operations the buffer zone can be decreased. Consent may be obtained after consultation with Rijkswaterstaat and the Cultural Heritage Agency.

Concerning the buried ferrous structures, it is advised to avoid such areas whilst constructing wind turbines or a trench for the cables.





If it is not feasible to avoid the reported magnetometer locations, additional research is required in order to determine the actual archaeological value of the reported locations. It is advised that the UXO research within 100 meter of the 65 magnetometer anomalies are carried out under onboard archaeological supervision. Depending on the outcome of the UXO research can be decided if additional research (for instance by means of ROV or dive investigations) is needed. If the UXO research indicates that the object has no archaeological value, the location can be omitted.

# 6 Conclusion and recommendations

A large quantity of survey data (*side scan sonar magnetometer* and *multibeam* echosounder) was analysed in order to conduct an archaeological assessment. A comparison was made with information about known objects in the area.

Four (4) of the assessed contacts are assigned with an archaeological expectation based on the dimensions and shapes of the contacts and supplemented information from existing observations. The wreck of the fishing cutter Alca Torda which sunk in the seventies of the 20<sup>th</sup> century is not considered to be of archaeological value.

A summary of the locations with possible archaeological value are presented in the table and figure below.

Location type	Total	Corresponding to known objects (NCN)	Identified in survey data
Visible structures at surface	4	3	1
Buried ferrous structures	65	0	65

Table 10. Summary of locations with a possible archaeological value



Figure 14. Overview of locations with a possible archaeological value




Concerning the visible structures at the seabed surface. As long as the archaeological value of the remains is not determined, it is advised not to conduct disturbing activities on the locations including a buffer zone of 100 meters around. This also applies to cable trenching and anchorages of work vessels.

The buffer zone of 100 meters is a standard that applies to the protection of cultural heritage, this distance may be reduced if it can be substantiated that the applied disturbance has no effect on the archaeological object. For example, when no anchoring is used during cable lay operations the buffer zone can be decreased. Consent may be obtained after consultation with Rijkswaterstaat and the Cultural Heritage Agency.

Concerning the buried ferrous structures, it is advised to avoid such areas whilst installing wind turbines and constructing a trench for the cables. It should be stressed that the origin of the magnetic anomalies is unknown and apart from possible archaeological remains any type of man-made objects can be encountered including unexploded ammunition, anchors, pieces of chains and cables, debris, etcetera. The presence of local natural phenomena, for instance local outcrops or subcrops of Tertiary clay, might partly account for the difference in the amount of anomalies encountered in BWFS I/II and BWFS III/IV.

If it is not feasible to avoid the reported magnetometer locations, additional research is required in order to determine the actual archaeological value of the reported locations. It is advised that the UXO research within 100 meter of the 65 magnetometer anomalies are carried out under onboard archaeological supervision. Depending on the outcome of the UXO research can be decided if additional research (for instance by means of ROV or dive investigations) is needed. If the UXO research indicates that the object has no archaeological value, the location can be omitted.

During the installation of the wind turbines and cable lay operations, archaeological objects may be discovered which were completely buried or not recognized as an archaeological object during the geophysical survey. We recommend passive archaeological supervision based on an approved program of requirements. Passive archaeological supervision means that an archaeologist is not present during the execution of the work but always available on call. Conducting this recommendation would prevent delays during the work when unexpectedly archaeological remains are found. In accordance with the Monuments Act 1988 (Revised 2007), it is required to report those findings to the competent authority. This notification must also be included in the scope of work.





# List of figures

Figure 1. Overview of the research area	8
Figure 2. Known obstructions and wrecks	13
Figure 3. SR-images	15
Figure 4. Photograph of the Alca Torda before sinking in 1973	16
Figure 5. Photograph of the HMS Simoom (1916)	17
Figure 6. Bathymetry map of the Borssele Wind Farm Zones	18
Figure 7. Side scan sonar contact S0612 in BWFSIV possible wreck site	21
Figure 8. Contact SSS-WFS1-122 wreck (NCN 1690)	22
Figure 9. Contact SSS-WFS2-636 wreck (NCN2496)	23
Figure 10. contact SSS-WFS2-712 (NCN2936)	24
Figure 11. Side scan sonar image of the wreck draped over the multibeam model	25
Figure 12. Raw multibeamsoundings (left) and colour image of the multibeamdata of the wreck Alca	
Torda 25	
Figure 13. Magnetometer contacts of potential archaeological interest	28
Figure 14. Overview of locations with a possible archaeological value	32

### List of tables

Table 1. Dutch archeological periods	2
Table 2. Administrative details of the research area	2
Table 3. Known objects (excluding UXO) within 2 kilometer from the BWFZ	15
Table 4. Number of side scan sonar and magnetometer contacts tagged by DEEP and FUGRO	19
Table 5. Interpretation of SSS-contacts by DEEP and FUGRO	19
Table 6. Result of the assessment of selected side scan sonar contacts	20
Table 7. Known objects	21
Table 8. Number of magnetic anomalies of potential archaeological interest: larger than 50nT and not	
correlated with side scan sonar contacts	27
Table 9. Summary of (possible) wreck sites	29
Table 10. Summary of locations with a possible archaeological value	32





# Glossary

Term	Description
Anthropogenic	Caused or produced by humans
Cone Penetration	Technique to determine the attributes of a ground layer (like resistance)
Test	
Ferromagnetic	Material which is magnetic or can be magnetized; known types are iron and nickel
In situ	Locally, in the original condition
KNA	Kwaliteitsnorm Nederlandse Archeologie, Dutch Quality Standard for Archaeology
Magnetometer	Method to measure deviations in the earth's magnetic field (caused by the
	presence of ferromagnetic or ferruginous objects)
Multibeam	Acoustic meter which with several beams measures with surface coverage the
	water depth below a vessel, after which a detailed topographic model of the
	seabed can be created
ROV	Remotely Operated Vehicle
Side scan sonar	Acoustic meter that measures the strength of a reflecting signal that is send out to
	the seabed below a survey vessel. It is comparable with a black/ white photograph
	of the seabed and used to map objects and to classify the soil morphology and
	type
Subbottom profiler	Acoustic system with which in two dimension can be looked into the seabed. The
	system is similar to seismic profiles used in the oil-industry
Trenching	Construction of a trench to bury a cable or pipeline
Vibrocore	Vibrocore drill is a special drilling technique used by research of the seabed where
	the core with the aid of vibration energy is put into the bottom. Within the core
	suction is created so the material doesn't fall out.





## References

### Literature

- Fijlstra, E., Amsterdam 2015. Geophysical site investigation survey Borssele Wind Farm Development Zone. Wind Farm Site 1. DEEP survey report 20150403\_SDB\_DEEP\_Final report WFS1\_V02\_F.
- Fijlstra, E., Amsterdam 2015. Geophysical site investigation survey Borssele Wind Farm Development Zone. Wind Farm Site 2. DEEP survey report 20150403\_SDB\_DEEP\_Final report WFS2\_V02\_F.
- Haasnoot, J.K., Diepstraten, E. en Kleiboer, C., 2014. Geological desk study Wind Farm Zone Borssele. CRUX report 14254a
- IMAGO Projectgroep: Innovatief Meten Aan Gezonken Objecten, eindrapportage 2003, Rijkswaterstaat IJsselmeergebied, RDIJ rapport nr. 2003-13a.
- KNA waterbodems 3.2.
- Marchetti, M. and Taliana, D., Leidschendam 2015. Geophysical Site Investigation Survey Dutch Continental Shelf, North Sea. Borssele Wind Farm Development Zone, Wind Farm Site III. Fugro (FSBV) Report No.: GH157-R1
- Marchetti, M. and Taliana, D., Leidschendam 2015. Geophysical Site Investigation Survey Dutch Continental Shelf, North Sea. Borssele Wind Farm Development Zone, Wind Farm Site IV. Fugro (FSBV) Report No.: GH157-R2
- Netherlands Enterprise Agency, 2015. Project and Site Description Borssele Wind Farm Zone Wind Farm Sites
- Raaijmakers, T., Roetert, T., Riezebos, H.J., van Dijk, T., Borsje, B. and Vermaas, T., 2016. Morphodynamics of Borssele Wind Farm Zone WFS-III, WFS-IV and WFS-V, prediction of seabed level changes between 2015 and 2046
- Rijkswaterstaat DI-IMG, 2011, Rijkswaterstaat brede afspraak archeologie.
- Site Studies Wind Farm Zone Borssele, Boundaries & Coordinates Wind Farm Zone, RVO document 20150722 SDB RVO Boundaries and Coordinates Borssele WFZ F.
- Van den Berg, E., 2014. Unexploded ordnance (UXO) Deskstudy. REASeuro report 71992
- Van den Brenk, S., en van den Oever, E.A., 2015. Programma van Eisen archeologische inventariserend veldonderzoek (opwaterfase) Borssele Wind farm zone.
- Van den Brenk, S., van Lil, R. en van den Oever. E.A., 2016. Archaeological assessment geophysical survey Transmission stations and cable routes Windfarm Borssele. Periplus Archeomare rapport 15A028
- Visser, C.A., W.J. Weerheijm, R. Schrijvers, W.A.M. Hessing, 2014. Borssele Wind Farm Zone, North Sea, the Netherlands: Archaeological desk study, risk assessment and recommendations. ISSN 1573-9406

### Internet sources

• International wrecksite (Wrecksite.eu)

### Other sources

- ARCHIS database Cultural Heritage Agency
- Databases Periplus Archeomare
- KNA Waterbodems 3.2
- Nationaal Contactnummer Nederland (NCN)





# Appendix 1. Listing of selected side scan sonar contacts



		Reported fig	jure by survey	companies	DEEP (BV	VFSI and	II) and Fu	ugro (BWFSIII	and IV)					Interpretation Periplus	Archeomare		
WFS	TargetName	LineName	Easting	Northing	Length		Height		Interpretation	MB	MAG	Notes	SSS	MBES	MAG	Interpretation	Remark
I	SSS-WFS1-028	1-100	498189	5733039	8.4	2	HNM	Contact	Unknown contact				shadow at 'wrong' side; no	no clear disturbance of natural		natural	
1	SSS-WFS1-087	3-300	497616	5731775	7.6	6.6	HNM	Contact	Unknown contact				contact; isolated zone with granular	morphology; no clear disturbance of natural	no anomaly	phenomenon natural	possible shell
	000 WI 01 007	5 500	437010	5/5///5	7.0	0.0		Contact	Onknown contact				hard reflection;	morphology;	tagged	phenomenon	layer
I	SSS-WFS1-088	4-400	500309	5735055	9.1	5.6	HNM	Contact	Unknown contact				highly reflective zone; no shadow behind target	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		natural phenomenon	possible shell layer
Ι	SSS-WFS1-117	23-2300	501794	5733963	4.3	1.4	HNM	Wreck	Wreck debris (1738)					,			
I	SSS-WFS1-120	23-2300	501829	5734005	5.6	0	HNM	Wreck	Wreck debris (1738)	Found							
Ι	SSS-WFS1-122	24-2400	501844	5733983	48.5	15.5	HNM	Wreck	Wreck site (1738)	Found							
I	SSS-WFS1-129	25-2500	501908	5733956	11.7	7.8	HNM	Wreck	Wreck debris (1738)								
I	SSS-WFS1-130	25-2500	501890	5733997	12.5	2.9	HNM	Wreck	Wreck debris (1738)								
I	SSS-WFS1-131	25-2500	501874	5733938	16.8	5.7	HNM	Wreck	Wreck debris (1738)								
Ι	SSS-WFS1-132	25-2500	501883	5733956	3.6	0	HNM	Wreck	Wreck debris (1738)	Found							
I	SSS-WFS1-147	81-8100	504883	5728468	25.2	0	HNM	Object	Linear object				very thin intermitted linear contact; clear reflection and shadow:	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;	no anomalies tagged;	cable	uncertain
1	SSS-WFS1-148	82-8200	504868	5728443	30.7	0	HNM	Object	Linear object				no SSS target visible			no object	
Ι	SSS-WFS1-158	41-4100	505827	5736186	4.5	0.9	HNM	Contact	Linear contact				clear straight elongated	no signs of morphological	no anomaly	unknown	possible beam
1	SSS-WFS1-160	46-4600	502599	5731471	10.3	4.2	HNM	Contact	Unknown contact				contact w/ clear shadow;	disturbances or scouring; no signs of morphological	tagged;	object natural	
1	SSS-WFS1-182	56-5600	504596	5732378	8.6	3.3	HNM	Contact	Unknown contact				reflection alike surrounding	disturbances or scouring; no signs of morphological	no anomaly	phenomenon	sand ripple
-													seabed;	disturbances or scouring;	tagged:	phenomenon	
Ι	SSS-WFS1-192	62-6200	506266	5733300	72.2	3.5	HNM	Contact	Linear contact				no SSS target visible			no object	
I	SSS-WFS1-204	65-6500	506606	5733314	4.1	3.5	0.5	Object	Unknown object				no difference between refelction of contact and surrounding sediment;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;	no magnetic anomaly tagged;	natural phenomenon	possibly remnant of outcropping clay
Ι	SSS-WFS1-206	71-7100	504039	5729040	11.2	9.6	HNM	Contact	Unknown contact					parellel to sand wave crest. No signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		natural phenomenon	
I	SSS-WFS1-207	73-7300	505206	5730425	6.5	6.5	HNM	Contact	Unknown contact				T-shaped contactl clear reflection; only small internal shadow	no clear disturbance of natural morphology;	no anomaly tagged	unknown object	
I	SSS-WFS1-208	73-7300	506192	5731747	6.2	1.6	HNM	Contact	Unknown contact				high reflective zone; no shadow:	no morphological anomalies;	no anomaly tagged;	natural phenomenon	
I	SSS-WFS1-209	85-8500	506498	5729838	12	0	HNM	Object	Possible cable				Shadow,	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;	lagged,	cable	
I	SSS-WFS1-210	94-9400	508250	5730893	7.1	0	0.4	Object	Unknown object				linear reflection; no shadow;	no disturbance of natural morphology;	no anomaly tagged	cable	uncertain
I	SSS-WFS1-211	95-9500	507520	5729519	5	0.8	0.1	Object	Unknown object				clear straight elongated contact; hard reflection; clear shadow:	no morphological anomalies;	no anomaly tagged;	unknown object	possible beam
I	SSS-WFS1-212	96-9600	507631	5729863	5.3	0.9	0.1	Object	Unknown object				elongated contact; hard reflection; no shadow;	no morphological anomalies;	no anomaly tagged;	unknown object	
Ι	SSS-WFS1-213	97-9700	507112	5728983	9.9	6.7	HNM	Object	Unknown object	Found			poor quality image; two reflections with shadow visible;	two-legged contact within depression. L=2.6; W=1.5; H=0.4m		unknown object	
Ι	SSS-WFS1-214	98-9800	506966	5728307	5.1	0.8	HNM	Object	Unknown object				clear straight elongated contact; hard reflection; thin	no morphological anomalies;	no anomaly tagged;	unknown object	possible beam
Ι	SSS-WFS1-215	106-10600	507120	5727249	11.2	6.7	0.3	Object	Unknown object				shadow; probably SSS disturbance	no morphological anomalies;	no anomalies	natural	
I	SSS-WFS1-219	42-4200	501318	5730431	6.3	1.6	0.4	Object	Unknown object				due to sudden pull at cable reflection of contact and surrounding sebed are alike;	contact at natural sediment ridge;	tagged; no anomaly tagged	phenomenon natural phenomenon	sand ripple
II	SSS-WFS2-504	144-14400	504187	5717344	6.6	3.6	0.9	Contact	Unknown contact				clear shadow; no clear reflection; clear shadow; poor quality SSS-	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; top of	no magnetic anomalies	natural phenomenon	possible disturbance of
II	SSS-WFS2-508	65-6500	502766	5728601	12.1	10.9	HNM	Contact	Unknown contact				image; much striping; hard parallel reflections; distortion of SSS-image;	sand wave crest; no data available;	tagged; no anomalies tagged;	natural phenomenon	SSS-image possible exposure of shell bed
II	SSS-WFS2-543	92-9200	503066	5724571	20.9	6.8	HNM	Object	Unknown object				striping; no shadow visible	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;	no anomaly tagged;	natural phenomenon	possible shell concentration







			gure by survey									Interpretation Periplus			
WFS	TargetName	LineName	Easting	-	Length	Width	0	Description			otes SSS	MBES	MAG	Interpretation	
II	SSS-WFS2-552	94-9400	503159	5724103	4.6	3.5	0.4	Object	Unknown object	Found	spherical contact; hard reflection; clear shadow;	spherical structure surrounded by scoured area on top of sand wave;		unknown object	man-made
II	SSS-WFS2-553	96-9600	503040	5723920	6.5	0.9	HNM	Object	Unknown object		poor quality SSS-image; much striping;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; top of sand wave crest;	no magnetic anomalies tagged;	natural phenomenon	possible disturbance of SSS-image
II	SSS-WFS2-555	97-9700	502476	5723012	8	0	HNM	Object	Possible cable		linear contact; thin shadow; poor quality SSS-record;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;	no magnetic anomaly tagged;	cable	
II	SSS-WFS2-556	98-9800	503839	5724574	32.2	16.6	HNM	Object	Unknown object		high reflective zone; no shadow; disturbance possibly due to sudden pull at cable;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;	no anomalies tagged;	no object	
II	SSS-WFS2-564	100-10000	503685	5724073	4.1	1.4	HNM	Object	Unknown object		much striping in SSS-image; linear contact; moderate reflection; clear shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		natural phenomenon	phenomenon possibly induced by disturbance of SSS recording
II	SSS-WFS2-566	102-10200	502310	5721970	16	0	HNM	Object	Possible cable		hard reflections only; no shadows;	no signs of disturbances of natural morphological or scouring;	no anomalies tagged:	unknown object	uncertain; possibly natural
II	SSS-WFS2-568	102-10200	501703	5720952	6.7	0.7	0.1	Object	Unknown object		clear reflection; no clear shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; top of sand wave crest;	no anomaly tagged;	natural phenomenon	
II	SSS-WFS2-570	103-10300	502363	5721952	8	0	HNM	Object	possible cable		faint linear contact; no shadow; parallel to bedding; much striping;		no anomalies tagged;	natural phenomenon	no contact
II	SSS-WFS2-571	103-10300	504337	5724149	6	1.1	HNM	Object	Unknown object	MAG- WFS2- 229	clear reflection; no shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;	coincides with 5nT contact MAG-WFS2- 229	unknown object	
II	SSS-WFS2-574	104-10400	503626	5723364	6.4	1.7	0.1	Object	Unknown object		reflection of sediment ridge; no shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; coincides with sand ripple crest;	magnetic anomaly tagged at 18m from SSS-target;	natural phenomenon	sand ripple
	SSS-WFS2-575	104-10400	504271	5724122	13.4	5.8	0.1	Object	Unknown object		moderately hard reflections only; no shadows;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;	no anomalies tagged:	natural phenomenon	probably shell bed
II	SSS-WFS2-576	104-10400	505944	5726013	1	2.3	0.2	Object	Unknown object		elongated contact; granular reflection; faint shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;	no anomalies tagged:	natural phenomenon	possible shell ridge
II	SSS-WFS2-583	106-10600	501740	5720338	7.2	0	0.3	Object	Possible Cable		elongated bended contact; much striping;	coincides with bended crest of current ripple;	no anomaly tagged;	natural phenomenon	sedimentary structure; current ripple
II	SSS-WFS2-587	108-10800	502616	5721345	6	2.5	HNM	Object	Unknown object		faint reflection; no shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; edge of current ripple;	no anomalies tagged;	natural phenomenon	edge of current ripple
II	SSS-WFS2-588	108-10800	503394	5722152	12.9	0	HNM	Object	Unknown object		clear reflections of irregular contact	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;	no anomalies tagged;	rope or cable	entangled
II	SSS-WFS2-590	109-10900	502155	5720394	11.8	1.6	0.2	Object	Unknown object		hard elongated reflections; much striping;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring	no anomalies tagged;	natural phenomenon	possible sediment ridges
II	SSS-WFS2-593	110-11000	505246	5724461	17.2	4.1	0.4	Object	Unknown object		elongated ridge partly with hard reflection;	structure part of natural morphology;	no anomalies tagged;	natural phenomenon	sand ridge; possibly with shell cover
II	SSS-WFS2-594	110-11000	502931	5721160	10.6	6.8	0.2	Object	Unknown object		poor quality highly reflective SSS-image; much striping;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;	no anomalies tagged;	no object	
II	SSS-WFS2-598	110-11000	505166	5724309	4.9	1.6	0.3	Object	Unknown object		sigmoidal shaped contact; much disturbance on SSS record;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;at crest of sand wave;		natural phenomenon	current ripple superposed on sand wave
II	SSS-WFS2-604	112-11200	505654	5724632	4.3	2	0.2	Object	Unknown object		hard reflective zone; no clear shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;at crest of sand ripple;		natural phenomenon	possible exposure of shell
II	SSS-WFS2-608	113-11300	506973	5726202	17.2	10.5	HNM	Object	Unknown object		hard reflections only; no	no signs of morphological	no anomalies	natural	possible shell bed
II	SSS-WFS2-609	113-11300	507492	5726863	6	5.3	HNM	Object	Unknown object		shadows; very clear isolated reflective zone; no shadow;	disturbances or scouring; no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;	tagged; no anomalies tagged;	phenomenon natural phenomenon	possible shell layer
11	SSS-WFS2-610	112-11200	503923	5722190	5.2	1.5	0.5	Anchor	Contact	Found	isolated v-shaped contact clear reflection and shadow; amidst depression;	slighty elevated structure perpendicular to natural current ripple; L=4.5m; W=2.4m; H=0.4m;	no anomalies tagged;	unknown object	man-made; possible anchor
	SSS-WFS2-614	114-11400	507484	5726412	18	8.9	0.1	Object	Unknown object		hard granualr reflections only; no shadows;		no anomalies tagged;	natural phenomenon	possible exposed shell bed
II	SSS-WFS2-622	116-11600	502587	5719834	5.6	3.7	0.2	Object	Unknown object		very clear isolated reflective zone; no shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;	no anomalies tagged;	natural phenomenon	possible shell layer
II	SSS-WFS2-623	116-11600	506684	5725077	10.1	6.5	0.2	Object	Unknown object		hard reflections only; no shadows;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;	no anomalies tagged:	natural phenomenon	probably shell bed







								igro (BWFSIII a					Interpretation Periplus A			
VFS	TargetName	LineName	Easting	Northing	Length	Width	Height		Interpretation	MB	MAG Notes	SSS	MBES	MAG	Interpretation	
II	SSS-WFS2-625	117-11700	506201	5724509	13.2	5.2	0.1	Object	Possible cable			heterogenic reflections;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; structure located at flank of megaripple;	no anomalies tagged:	natural phenomenon	unknown origin
II	SSS-WFS2-634	121-12100	505232	5722445	5.3	1.2	0.2	Object	Unknown object	Found		rectangular contact; clear reflection and shadow;	isolated elevated patch; L=3.0; W=1.5m; H=0.6m;	no anomalies tagged;	unknown object	man-made
	SSS-WFS2-637	122-12200	507096	5724794	10.3	8.9	0.1	Object	Unknown object			hard reflections only; no shadows;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;	no anomalies tagged:	natural phenomenon	probably shell bed
II	SSS-WFS2-638	123-12300	507623	5725422	10	1.1	0.1	Object	Unknown object			poor quality SSS-image; much striping;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; target at crest of current ripple;	no anomalies tagged;	unknown object	
II	SSS-WFS2-639	123-12300	506766	5724070	5.1	0.7	0.2	Object	Unknown object			elongated contact; very clear reflection and shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		unknown object	
II	SSS-WFS2-640	124-12400	504196	5720847	5.1	0.6	0.2	Object	Unknown object			intermitted linear contact; clear reflection and shadow; cable or pipeline;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; clear megaripples;		cable or pipeline	partly buried under mega- ripples; Refer to SSS-WFS2-641
11	SSS-WFS2-641	124-12400	504186	5720832	5.2	0.4	0.1	Object	Unknown object			intermitted linear contact; clear reflection and shadow; cable or pipeline;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; clear megaripples;		cable or pipeline	partly buried under mega- ripples; Refer to SSS-WFS2-640
II	SSS-WFS2-642	124-12400	503678	5719878	18.1	4.9	0.5	Object	Unknown object	Found		fork shaped contact with clear hard reflection; scouring on two sides;	slightly elevated contact; L=3.2m; W=3.2m; H=0.6m; pronounced scouring at northwest side;	no anomaly tagged;	unknown object	
II	SSS-WFS2-651	126-12600	506333	5723211	4.1	1	0.1	Object	Unknown object			irregular contact; clear reflection; no shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		natural phenomenon	uncertain
II	SSS-WFS2-658	129-12900	505091	5720960	4.1	0.9	0.3	Object	Unknown object			irregular shaped contact; clear reflection and shadow;	slight disturbance of natural morphology;		unknown object	
II	SSS-WFS2-661	130-13000	506807	5723148	10.9	0	0.5	Object	Possible cable				intersection lineation between exposed sediments of different composition; e.g. clay and sand;	no anomalies tagged;	natural phenomenon	geological structure
11	SSS-WFS2-662	131-13100	502779	5717603	5.2	1.8	0.1	Object	Unknown object			clear reflection an d shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; target at crest of mega-ripple;		unknown object	
11	SSS-WFS2-663	132-13200	503845	5719109	16.2	6.7	0.2	Object	Unknown object			hard reflections only; no shadows;	no signs of disturbances of natural morphological or scouring;	no anomalies tagged:	natural phenomenon	possible shell be
11	SSS-WFS2-666	168-16800	504656	5714197	6.9	2.9	0.4	Contact	Unknown contact			isolated contact; reflection very alike surrounding seabed;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;	no anomaly tagged;	natural phenomenon	possible outcrop of clay/peat
II	SSS-WFS2-670	166-16600	504144	5713956	20.1	5.3	HNM	Contact	Unknown contact	Found		S-shaped contact amodts depressions;	elongated contact with scouring at northeast and southwest side; L=5.8m; W=3.2m; H=1.1m;	no anomalies tagged;	unknown object	
II	SSS-WFS2-671	163-16300	504486	5714526	7.8	3.2	HNM	Object	Unknown object			phenomenon possibly induced by disturbance of SSS recording;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;	no anomalies tagged;	no object	possible disturbance of SSS recording
II	SSS-WFS2-676	160-16000	504400	5715257	9.2	0	HNM	Contact	Linear contact			clear hard reflection parallel to bedding; no shadow;	disturbances or scouring;	no anomalies tagged;	natural phenomenon	edge of sedimer ridge
II	SSS-WFS2-679	155-15500	507335	5719586	4.3	0	HNM	Object	Linear object			much striping in SSS-image; linear contact; moderate reflection; clear shadow; parallel to sedimentary bedding;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;at crest of sand ripple;		natural phenomenon	sand ripple
II	SSS-WFS2-680	154-15400	507854	5720603	4.5	2.1	0.5	Object	Unknown object			much striping in SSS-image; moderate reflection; clear shadow;	outside area;		unknown object	uncertain
II	SSS-WFS2-684	149-14900	507678	5720970	9	3.2	0.5	Object	Unknown object	Found		irregular reflections; clear shadow;	slightly elongated contact; could be natural;	tagged;	unknown object	possible tangled rope
II	SSS-WFS2-686	145-14500	507686	5721598	22.6	21.7	1.3	Object	Unknown object	Found	MAG- WFS2- 251	unclear SSS-image; hard reflectors w/ shadow;	isolated compact contact amidst scour depression; L=3.6m; W=2.8m; H=2.5m;		unknown object	possible contain
II	SSS-WFS2-689	145-14500	507118	5720943	13.8	7.2	1.3	Object	Unknown object	Found		elevated structure; reflections similar to surrounding seabed; clear shadow;	elevated structure; scouring	no anomalies tagged;	unknown object	
II	SSS-WFS2-690	146-14600	504295	5717381	23.5	0.7	HNM	Contact	Linear contact			intermitted linear contact;	no morphological anomalies;	no anomalies tagged;	cable	partly buried
11	SSS-WFS2-693	142-14200	508262	5722942	41.9	8.4	2.8	Wreck	Wreck debris area (possibly 2902)							







		Reported figure												Interpretation Periplus			
WFS	TargetName	LineName	Easting	Northing		Width	0	Description	Interpretation	MB	MAG	Notes	SSS	MBES	MAG	Interpretation	
II	SSS-WFS2-694	138-13800	502537	5716328	10.8	5.1	HNM	Object	Unknown object	Found			isolated structure with identical reflections as surrounding seabed; slightly elevated.	isolated structure; some scouring around the structure;	no anomalies tagged;	natural phenomenon	possible exposure of clay
II	SSS-WFS2-698	142-14200	508242	5722932	0	0	0.3	Wreck	Wreck debris (possibly 2902)								
II	SSS-WFS2-699	140-14000	503958	5717728	4.6	2.7	0.8	Object	Unknown object				much striping in SSS-image; no clear reflection; clear shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;at crest of sand ripple;		natural phenomenon	sand ripple
II	SSS-WFS2-701	139-13900	505391	5719631	17.5	0.7	HNM	Contact	Linear contact				hard reflections only; no shadows;		no anomalies tagged;	natural phenomenon	possible exposed shells parallel to sediment ripple
ļI	SSS-WFS2-712	144-14400	506164	5720021	9.8	4.3	1.9	Wreck	Possible wreck debris (3657)	Found							
III	S0514	A34029_SH_NavMerged	497935	5729694	6.4	0.3	0.1	debris					linear contact; thin reflection; clear shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; at crest of sand wave;		rope or cable	
	S0515	A31083_SH_NavMerged	495958	5729695	7.8	1.5	0.56	debris	Possibly natural	Found			contact; relative hard reflection compared to surrounding seabed;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		natural phenomenon	
	S0530	A34026_SH_NavMerged	499158	5729455	6.9	0.3	0.38	linear debris		Found			linear contact; intermitted;			rope or cable	intermitted
111	S0531	A34026_SH_NavMerged	499141	5729450	4.6	0.5	0.3	debris					rounded elongated contact; moderate reflection; no clear shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		natural phenomenon	sedimentary structure; sand ripple
III	S0550	A34020_SH_706_NavMerged	502088	5729145	4.7	3.3	0.6	debris		Found			elongated contact; hard reflection; no clear shadow;	outside <i>multibeam</i> range; possibly within scoure;		unknown object	man made
	S0584	A34025_SH_NavMerged	499132	5728668	9.1	0.4	0.07	linear debris					linear contact; disturbance of SSS-image; striping;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		natural phenomenon	uncertain
	S0628	A31047_SH_NavMerged	500796	5727961	5.5	0.9	0.56	debris			M0044		L-shaped contact, only spotted reflection; no shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		unknown object	possibly related to S0639 (wreck)
III	S0639	A31048_SH_707_NavMerged	500728	5727924	17.6	3.9	2.8	wreck 1723		Found	M0046						
III	S0651	A31080_SH_NavMerged	495127	5727898	6.7	1.5	0.3	linear debris		Found			clear target embedded in sediment; clear reflection and shadow;	small (2m) and undeep scouring around contact;		unknown object	man-made
	S0734	A31070_SH_NavMerged	495465	5726433	8.2	0.4	0.12	linear debris			M0712		linear contact; disturbance of SSS-image; striping;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		rope or cable	
	S0753	A31041_SH_NavMerged	496010	5726093	4.4	2	0.71	debris		Found			depression; hard reflection at 'wrong' side;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		unknown object	buried
	S0756	A31071_SH_NavMerged	495006	5726044	6.1	0.4	0.03	linear debris					linear contact, intermitted; thin reflection; clear shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		cable	partly buried
III	S0766	A31083_SH_NavMerged	492759	5725662	7.5	1.4	0	debris					elongated contact; relative hard reflection; no shadow; much disturbance of SSS record;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; at edge of sand wave;		natural phenomenon	probably natural sedimentary structure
	S0770	A31074_SH_NavMerged	494168	5725635	5	0.9	0.16	debris					elongated contact; moderate reflection; clear shadow;	slight depression near tagged contact;		unknown object	
III	\$0772	A31060_SH_NavMerged	496602	5725620	4.2	0.3	0.1	linear debris					linear contact; clear reflection and shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		natural phenomenon	natural sedimentary structure; sand ripple
III	S0788	A34033_SH_NavMerged	492291	5725316	4.4	0.8	0.38	debris					elongated contact; moderate reflection; clear shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		natural phenomenon	natural sedimentary structure; sand ripple
	S0799	A31079_SH_NavMerged	492883	5725108	5.4	0.3	0.11	linear debris					linear contact; thin reflection and shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; near sand wave crest;	proximate to M0521	cable	
	S0803	A31074_SH_NavMerged	493836	5725020	5.7	0.2	0.08	linear debris					linear contact, intermitted; thin reflection; clear shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;	no anomalies tagged; possible alignment with M0730 and M0537	cable	partly buried
111	S0806	A3SB008_SH_NavMerged	500498	5724978	5.1	0.5	0.1	linear debris					linear contact; thin reflection and shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; near sand wave crest;		cable	uncertain; might be natural phenomenon;
III	S0807	A34032_SH_NavMerged	492489	5724926	4.1	0.9	0.25	debris					elongated contact; hard reflection; clear shadow;	distinct sigar-shaped contact emedded in surrounding sediments; on top two pointed structures; slight scouring; L=4.2m; W=1.5m; H=0.8m;		unknown object	man-made







		Reported figure	by survey		DEEP (BV	NFSI and			ind IV)				Interpretation Periplus A	Archeomare		
WFS	TargetName	LineName	Easting	Northing	Length	Width	Height	Description	Interpretation	MB	MAG	Notes SSS	MBES	MAG	Interpretation	Remark
III	Š0817	A31040_SH_NavMerged	501240	5724590	5	0.8	0.23	debris				rounded contact; small shadow:	slight depression near tagged contact:		unknown object	
111	S0820	A31076_SH_NavMerged	492956	5724410	6.4	0.8	0.19	debris				rectangular contact; clear reflection and shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; at crest of sand wave;		unknown object	
III	S0822	A34030_SH_NavMerged	492968	5724263	7.8	0.4	0.08	linear debris				linear debris;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		unknown object	possible rope
111	S0823	A31074_SH_NavMerged	493047	5724239	9.7	0.2	0.08	linear debris	Cable?		M0729	linear contact;		M0729	cable or pipeline	
III	S0832	A3SB016_SH_NavMerged	498854	5723863	9.4	0.6	0.23	debris				rectangular contact; moderate reflection; shadow at 'wrong' side; possible scour;	no signs of morphological disturbances; possible slight scouring;		unknown object	
	S0835	A34026_SH_NavMerged	494518	5723655	6.2	0.3	0.14	linear debris		Found		spherical contact combined with linear structure;	scouring around apparently two parallel elongated contacts; L=2.3m; W=1.0m; H=0.2m;		unknown object	man-made
111	S0837	UHRINF008_SH2_NavMerged	501967	5723531	4.6	0.3	0.08	debris		Found	M1597	contact reflection alike surrounding seabed; no shadow; parallel to sedimentary structure;	morphology slightly disturbed;		natural phenomenon	natural structure e.g. outcrop of clay
	S0844	A31050_SH_NavMerged	496527	5723244	4.4	0.3	0.07	debris				linear contact; thin reflection and shadow;;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		cable	
III	S0854	A31053_SH_NavMerged	495444	5722543	4.4	0.5	0	linear debris				no clear contact; no shadow; possible distortion in SSS image;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		no object	uncertain
III	S0856	UHRINF012_SH2_NavMerged	496837	5722354	6.1	0.7	0.08	linear debris	Possible exposure UK - NL11	Found	M1477	linear contact;	linear structure and depression;	contact in between and in alignment with two magnetic anomalies;	cable	exposure UK - NL11 cable
III	S0859	A31035_SH_NavMerged	499884	5721702	4	4	0.43	debris		Found		rectangular contact; hard reflection; clear shadow;	elevated contact attached with sand ripple; L2.3m; W2.0m;		natural phenomenon	
	S0870	A31018_SH_NavMerged	500805	5719203	6.5	1	0.13	debris	Linear debris			thin linear reflection; clear shadow:	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		rope or cable	uncertain
111	S0876	A31013_SH_NavMerged	500627	5718182	5.7	3.6	0.87	debris		Found		rectangular contact, hard reflection; clear small shadow	clear angular contact; slight		unknown object	man-made
III	S0880	A31011_SH_NavMerged	500897	5717838	4.2	0.7	0	debris				V-saped contact; clear reflection; no shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		unknown object	
III	S0882	A31008_SH_701_NavMerged	500762	5717072	4.4	1.5	0.3	debris	Possibly natural	Found		elongated contact; hard reflection; clear shadow;	rectangular contact; L=3.4m; W=2.0m; H=0.2m;		unknown object	probably man- made
	S0883	A31004_SH_701_NavMerged	501158	5716728	7.8	1.4	0.68	debris		Found		In linear contact; hard reflection; scour	amidst scouring;		pipeline	Zeepipe exposu
IV	S0014	A41126_SH_NavMerged	493503	5735724	6.6	0.2	0.23	debris		Not Found		halter-shaped contact clear reflection and shadows; at end of contact larger shadows;	linear contact;		unknown object	man-made
IV	S0023	A41100_SH_NavMerged	497543	5735317	7.4	0.4	0.08	linear debris		Not Found		linear contact; faint thin reflection and shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		cable	uncertain
IV	S0030	A44048_SH_NavMerged	492593	5735201	4.7	0.2	0.11	linear debris		Not Found		no clear contact;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		natural phenomenon	
IV	S0058	A41136_SH_NavMerged	490842	5734633	5	0.4	0.27	linear debris		Not Found		elongated contact; sshadow at 'wrong' side;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		natural phenomenon	
IV	S0078	A41137_SH_NavMerged	490249	5734053	5.7	0.3	0.12	linear debris		Not Found		much striping in SSS-image; linear contact; thin reflection and shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		cable	uncertain
IV	S0085	A44053_SH_NavMerged	488983	5733931	7.9	0.7	0.16	debris		Not Found		linear contact; reflection at 'wrong'side;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; at crest of sand ripple;		natural phenomenon	current ripple
IV	S0087	A41087_SH_NavMerged	498728	5733897	6.2	0.8	0.1	linear debris		Not Found		elongated contact; moderate reflection; weak shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		natural phenomenon	natural sedimentary structure; sand ripple
IV	S0095	A41121_SH_NavMerged	492881	5733792	4.2	2	0.32	debris		Found		rectangular contact; hard reflection; clear shadow;	irregular distortion of seabed morphology;		unknown object	man-made
IV	S0101	A41097_SH_NavMerged	496895	5733669	4.1	0.4	0.08	linear debris		Not Found		rectangular contact; moderate reflection; faint shadow; on sand ripple;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		natural phenomenon	natural sedimentary structure; sand ripple
IV	S0106	UHRINF006_SH2_NavMerged	493817	5733541	4.5	0.5	0.58	debris		Found		spherical contact with attached elongated structure; embedded in sediment; moderate reflection;	elevated spherical contact; linear structure nearby;		anchor	possibly with chain







		Reported figure	by survey											Interpretation Periplus			
WFS	TargetName	LineName	Easting	Northing	Length	Width	Height	Description	Interpretation	MB	MAG	Notes	SSS	MBES	MAG	Interpretation	Remark
IV	S0111	A41097_SH_NavMerged	496676	5733448	5.1	1.5	0.32	linear debris		Not Found			elongated ; hard reflection; no shadow; embedded in sediment:	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		natural phenomenon	
IV	S0129	A44044_SH_NavMerged	492874	5733194	6.4	0.6	0.37	linear debris		Not Found			elongated contact; clear reflection and shadow;	slightly elevated structure; L=4.9m; W=2.3; H=0.1m;		unknown object	
IV	S0145	A44059_SH_701_NavMerged	485059	5732938	4.6	0.6	0.43	debris		Not Found			poor quality SSS-record; elongated contact; hard reflection; clear shadow;	outside recorded area;		unknown object	
IV	S0156	A41160_SH_701_NavMerged	485206	5732755	5.8	0.9	0.44	linear debris		Found			elongated contact; hard reflection; clear shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		unknown object	possibly natural
IV	S0157	A44051_SH_NavMerged	488868	5732745	6.1	0.3	0.1	debris	linear debris. Possibly natural				linear structure; reflection alike surrounding seabed; clear shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		natural phenomenon	natural sedimentary structure
IV	S0174	A41155_SH_NavMerged	485900	5732572	4.2	0.8	0.29	debris		Not Found			elongated structure rounded; parallel to sand ripple; much striping in sonar record;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		natural phenomenon	natural sedimentary structure; sand ripple
IV	S0189	A3A4XL002_SH2_NavMerged	487882	5732433	6	0.8	0.74	debris		Found			spherical contact; hard reflection; clear shadow; embedded in sediment;	spherical contact; amidst scoure; L=3.3m; W=1.5m; H=0.2m;		unknown object	man-made
IV	S0223	A44059_SH_701_NavMerged	484469	5732223	5.5	0.6	0.11	linear debris		Not Found			linear contact; hard reflection; clear shadow;	slightly elevated with respect to surrounding seabed;		natural phenomenon	
IV	S0235	A41154_SH_NavMerged	485810	5732162	7.7	1.7	0.28	debris		Found			elongated contact; moderate reflection; thin clear shadow;	slightly elevated with respect to surrounding seabed;		natural phenomenon	possible outcrop of clay Kreftenheye/Eem Fm
IV	S0246	A44056_SH_NavMerged	485819	5732119	4	0.4	0.39	linear debris		Not Found			drop-shaped contact; moderate reflection; thin shadow;	slightly elevated with respect to surrounding seabed;		natural phenomenon	possible outcrop of clay Kreftenheye/Eem Fm
IV	S0261	A44056_SH_NavMerged	485854	5732066	4.6	0.6	0.56	debris		Not Found			elongated contact; moderate reflection comparable with surrounding seabed; no clear shadow;	slightly elevated with respect to surrounding seabed;		natural phenomenon	
IV	S0275	A41151_SH_NavMerged	486085	5732015	6.5	0.4	0.07	linear debris		Not Found			intermitted linear contact; thin reflection and shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;	no anomalies tagged; proximate to anomalies tagged and interpreted to represent UK_NL8	cable	coherent with S0279 and S028
IV	\$0279	A41152_SH_NavMerged	486081	5732002	5.3	0.5	0	debris		Not Found			linear contact; thin reflection no clear shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;	no anomalies tagged; proximate to anomalies tagged and interpreted to represent UK_NL9	cable	coherent with S0275 and S0282
IV	\$0282	A41152_SH_NavMerged	486069	5731991	35.5	0.3	0.13	debris		Not Found			intermitted linear contact; thin reflection and shadow;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;	no anomalies tagged; proximate to anomalies tagged and interpreted to represent UK_NL10	cable	coherent with S0279 and S0282
IV	S0285	A44056_SH_NavMerged	485814	5731986	5.9	1.5	0.18	debris		Not Found			no clear target; moderate reflection; shadow at 'wrong' side;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		natural phenomenon	
IV	S0301	A44056_SH_NavMerged	485778	5731892	5.6	0.6	0.1	debris		Not Found			elongated contact; hard reflection on 'wrong side'; no shadow; poor quality SSS- image;	edge of sand ripple;		natural phenomenon	sand ripple
IV	S0308	A41137_SH_NavMerged	488492	5731867	5.6	0.6	0.47	debris		Found			spherical contact; moderate reflection; weak shadow;	contact not visible; scouring 2.8m in diameter next to contact location;		unknown object	
IV	S0311	A44044_SH_NavMerged	491912	5731850	7.2	0.4	0	linear debris		Found			elongated contact; moderate reflection; shadow at 'wrong' side;	no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;		natural phenomenon	sand ripple







Image: Second			Reported figure	by survey					Igro (BWFSIII and IV)					Interpretation Periplus	Archeomare		
Image: Second	WFS			U U		Length	Width	Height	Description Interpretation	MB	MAG	Notes	SSS	MBES	MAG	Interpretation	
Image: Processing of the second of	IV	\$0313	A41147_SH_NavMerged	486887	5731855	5	0.4	0.16	debris	Found			*		tagged; proximate to anomalies tagged and interpreted to represent	cable	possible exposure of UK_NL8
Image: Constraint of the second sec	IV	S0343	A44038_SH1_NavMerged	494861	5731658	5.5	1.8	0.95	debris					contacts amidst scouring; L=			man-made
L     Found     Found     Found     Found     Intercent and dots     pressure and dots       IV     SIG84     A41157_SH_707_Nam/kogel     44608     0.53     ddbts     Jure     Jure </td <td>IV</td> <td>S0347</td> <td>A44057_SH_NavMerged</td> <td>485065</td> <td>5731650</td> <td>4.5</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>0.23</td> <td>debris</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>possibly natural phenomenon</td>	IV	S0347	A44057_SH_NavMerged	485065	5731650	4.5	0.5	0.23	debris								possibly natural phenomenon
Image: Constraint of the	IV	S0351	A41144_SH_NavMerged	487081	5731637	4.9	1.3	0.17	debris				reflection; clear shadow; much striping in SSS-record;	slightly elevated structure;			sand ripple
Image: Construct of the second seco	IV	S0364	A41157_SH_701_NavMerged	484808	5731513	4.5	0.6	0.33	debris				moderate reflection; clear	outside <i>multibeam</i> recordings;			possibly natural phenomenon
Image: Note: Solid Science:	IV	S0377	A41109_SH_NavMerged	492851	5731262	6.7	1.3	0.4	debris	Found			granular reflection; clear	slightly elevated contact;			possibly natural
IV     S044     Aut12_3_SH_NawAlerged     48889     573005     4.5     0.4     0.22     Invest detries     Not Found     Invest detries     detries     Automation of the second prophological disturbances or isouring.     detries     neural phonometal contact.     detries     metries     neural phonometal contact.     detries     metries	IV	S0387	A41096_SH_NavMerged	495084	5731177	4.6	1.3	1.02	debris					amidst scouring; L=4.0m;			
L     Found     Found     Intra "effection; clear shadow; nonphological disturbaness or counting;     phenomenon       IV     S0448     A44042,SH,NavMerged     491524     5720771     6.4     0.6     0.17     debris     Not Found     disturbaness or much arring party modular     edge of shared region ro signs of much arring party modular     edge of shared region ro signs of much arring party modular     edge of shared region ro signs of much arring party modular     edge of shared region ro signs of much arring party modular     edge of shared region ro much arring	IV	S0434	A41127_SH_NavMerged	489300	5730841	4.4	1.4	0.33	debris	Found							
Image: Second	IV	S0444	A41123_SH_NavMerged	489889	5730655	4.5	0.4	0.22	linear debris					morphological disturbances or			sand ripple
Image: Second	IV	S0448	A44043_SH_NavMerged	491228	5730571	6.4	0.6	0.17	debris				reflections parallel to heading; much striping perpendicular	morphological disturbances or		no object	disturbance of sonar image
IV     S0572     A41117_SH_NavMerged     489425     S728827     4.8     0.4     0.11     linear debris     Post     linear contact. thin reflection: no clear shadow.     socuring area. L=4.2m, Warden linear contact. thin reflection: appearance: app	IV	S0501	A41109_SH_NavMerged	491524	5729795	4.4	1	1	debris					contact;parallel to sand wave crest; 9m southwest on tagged			intersection outcropping clay?
IV     S0581     A41097_SH_NavMerged     492835     5728711     7     1.1     0     linear debris     Found     elims hadow; shadow     disturbances or socuring; hard granular reflection; no shadow.     natural elistration activity     natural phenomenon     possib       IV     S0587     A41109_SH_NavMerged     49060     5728608     4.7     0.9     0.77     debris     Found     compact contact; hard reflection; clear shadow;     no signs of morphological disturbances or socuring;     unknown     matural phenomenon     possib       IV     S0580     A41111_SH_NavMerged     490260     572867     4.3     0.9     0.3     debris     Found     compact contact; moderate reflection; faint shadow;     no signs of morphological mosigns of morphological no signs of morphological natural     natural phenomenon     plassib phenomenon     no signs of morphological reflection; shadow wisble;     reflection; shadow wisble;     replection; shadow wisble;     reflection; shadow wisble;     replection; shadow wisble; <td>IV</td> <td>S0506</td> <td>A41097_SH_NavMerged</td> <td>493741</td> <td>5729763</td> <td>4.2</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>0.31</td> <td>debris</td> <td>Found</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>scouring area; L=4.2m; W=3.4m; H=0.6m; boulder like</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	IV	S0506	A41097_SH_NavMerged	493741	5729763	4.2	1.2	0.31	debris	Found				scouring area; L=4.2m; W=3.4m; H=0.6m; boulder like			
IV S0587 A41109_SH_NavMerged 490660 5728608 4.7 0.9 0.77 debris Found hard granular reflection; no stadow; distrbances or socuring; phenomenon isyer   IV S0587 A41109_SH_NavMerged 490660 5728608 4.7 0.9 0.77 debris Found compact contact; hard reflection; no quality SSS-record; much striping; no signs of morphological opical on signs of morphological phenomenon unknown marrar object   IV S0602 A41119_SH_NavMerged 488809 5728342 6.8 0.8 0.42 debris Found elongated contact; hard reflection; nink stadow; poor quality SSS-record; much striping; contact thard reflection; shadow; solid; roping; contact thard reflection; shadow; solid; roping; contact thard reflection; nink stadow; solid at this internal northwest- seathed with internal northwest- seathed solid stadow; solid at roping; wreck uncerti structure; sone solid at phenomenon internal phenomenon wreck uncerti structure; sone solid at roping; wreck uncerti structure; sone solid at phenomenon wreck uncerti structure; sone solid at roping; wreck uncerti structure; sone solid at phenomenon wreck uncerti structure; sone solid at roping; unknown   IV S0630 A41111_SH_NavMerged 48963 5727700 6.2 0.7 <	IV	S0572	A41117_SH_NavMerged	489425	5728827	4.8	0.4	0.11	linear debris							cable	
IV   S0590   A41111_SH_NavMerged   490260   5728567   A.3   0.9   0.3   debris   Found   effection; clear shadow; reflection; raint shadow; noor quality SS-record; much   disturbances or scouring; disturbances or scouring; burper;   object     IV   S0602   A41119_SH_NavMerged   488809   5728342   6.8   0.4   debris   Not Found   elongated contact; moderate reflection; shadow; visible;   connection between two sand reflection; renormal debris   natural phenomenon reflection; shadow;   seatheast treining elongated shadow;   matural reflection; renormal reflection; renor	IV	S0581	A41097_SH_NavMerged	492835	5728711	7	1.1	0	linear debris				hard granular reflection; no	5 1 5			possible shell layer
Image: Note of the i	IV	S0587	A41109_SH_NavMerged	490660	5728608	4.7	0.9	0.77	debris	Found							man-made
IV   S0612   A41099_SH_NavMerged   492044   5728217   6.5   1.4   1.37   debris   Found   reflection; cloarst, mode shadow visible;   ripples;   phenomenon     IV   S0612   A41099_SH_NavMerged   492044   5728217   6.5   1.4   1.37   debris   Pound   elongation; pronouncet shadow visible;   ripples;   phenomenon   wreck   uncerts     IV   S0630   A41111_SH_NavMerged   489742   5727968   4.1   1.4   0.35   debris   Found   reflection; pronouncet shadow; not side of contact; mode structure; some socuring on not mark system socuring;   unknown object     IV   S0688   A41107_SH_NavMerged   48958   5727405   9   4.3   1.2   debris   Found   elongated contact; moderate reflection; clear shadow;   no signs of morphological disturbances or socuring;   natural phenomenon phenomenon of clay shadow;     IV   S0693   A41105_SH_NavMerged   490358	IV						0.9		debris				reflection; faint shadow; poor quality SSS-record; much striping;	disturbances or scouring; seabed around 34.40m slightly 'bumpy';			possible outcrop of clay
Image: Normal and the second structures is a sea the second structures is a sea the second structures; some scouring on north and south side of contact;   unknown object     IV   S0630   A41111_SH_NavMerged   489742   5727968   4.1   1.4   0.35   debris   Found   rectangular contact; moderate reflection; shadow present;   elevated elongated contact; L=2.2m; W= 1.3m; H=0.2m;   unknown object     IV   S0671   A44042_SH_NavMerged   489603   5727700   6.2   0.7   0.3   linear debris   Found   reflection; shadow present;   L=2.2m; W= 1.3m; H=0.2m;   object   natural phenomenon     IV   S0671   A44042_SH_NavMerged   489603   5727700   6.2   0.7   0.3   linear debris   Found   elongated contact; moderate reflection; shadow;   sight scaring of seabed;   natural phenomenon     IV   S0688   A41107_SH_NavMerged   48958   5727405   9   4.3   1.32   debris   Found   debris   no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;   natural phenomenon of claw;     IV   S0693   A41105_SH_NavMerged   490358   572789   4.3   1.2   0.52   debris   Found   drop-shaped contact; hard reflectio	IV		A41119_SH_NavMerged			6.8	0.8	0.42	debris				reflection; shadow visible;	ripples;			sand ripple
Image: Normal content of the conten	IV	S0612	A41099_SH_NavMerged	492044	5728217	6.5	1.4	1.37	debris				reflection; pronounced	seatheast trending elongated structures; some scouring on		wreck	uncertain
IV   S0671   A44042_SH_NavMerged   489603   5727700   6.2   0.7   0.3   linear debris   Found   elongated contact; moderate reflection; clear shadow;   slight scarring of seabed;   natural phenomenon     IV   S0688   A41107_SH_NavMerged   489958   5727405   9   4.3   1.32   debris   Found   spherical contact; moderate granular reflection; no shadow;   no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;   natural phenomenon   possib of clay     IV   S0693   A41105_SH_NavMerged   490358   5727289   4.3   1.2   0.52   debris   Found   drop-shaped contact; hard reflection; clear shadow;   elevated aequidimentional contact; embedded in sand;   unknown object   man-m     IV   S0709   A41088_SH1_NavMerged   492863   5726996   4.3   0.4   0.09   linear debris   Not   linear contact; thin reflection;   no signs of morphological   cable   debris	IV	S0630	A41111_SH_NavMerged	489742	5727968	4.1	1.4	0.35		Found				elevated elongated contact;			
IVS0688A41107_SH_NavMerged489958572740594.31.32debrisFoundspherical contact; moderate granular reflection; no shadow;no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;natural phenomenonpossib of clayIVS0693A41105_SH_NavMerged49035857272894.31.20.52debrisFounddrop-shaped contact; hard reflection; clear shadow;elevated aequidimentional contact; embedded in sand; L=2.0m; W=2.0m; H=0.3m;unknown objectman-mIVS0709A41088_SH1_NavMerged49286357269964.30.40.09linear debrisNotlinear contact; thin reflection;no signs of morphologicalcable	IV	S0671	A44042_SH_NavMerged	489603	5727700	6.2	0.7	0.3	linear debris	Found			0	slight scarring of seabed;			
IV   S0693   A41105_SH_NavMerged   490358   5727289   4.3   1.2   0.52   debris   Found   drop-shaped contact; hard reflection; clear shadow;   elevated aequidimentional contact; embedded in sand; L=2.0m; W=2.0m; H=0.3m;   unknown object   man-metric     IV   S0709   A41088_SH1_NavMerged   492863   5726996   4.3   0.4   0.09   linear debris   Not   linear contact; thin reflection;   no signs of morphological   cable	IV	S0688	A41107_SH_NavMerged	489958	5727405	9	4.3	1.32	debris	Found			spherical contact; moderate granular reflection; no			natural	possible outcrop of clay
IV S0709 A41088_SH1_NavMerged 492863 5726996 4.3 0.4 0.09 linear debris Not linear contact; thin reflection; no signs of morphological cable	IV	S0693	A41105_SH_NavMerged	490358	5727289	4.3	1.2	0.52	debris	Found			drop-shaped contact; hard	contact; embedded in sand;			man-made
	IV	S0709	A41088_SH1_NavMerged	492863	5726996	4.3	0.4	0.09	linear debris					no signs of morphological		cable	







 
 Reported figure by survey companies DEEP (BWFSI and II) and Fugro (BWFSIII and IV)

 eName
 Easting
 Northing
 Length
 Width
 Height
 Description
 Interpretation
 Interpretati WFS TargetName LineName MB MAG Notes SSS MBES IV S0721 A44039\_SH\_NavMerged 490376 5726803 5.5 0.5 0.07 linear debris Not elongated structure; shadow no signs of morpho Found at 'wrong' side; poor quality SSS-record; disturbances or sco no signs of morpho S0722 A41090\_SH\_NavMerged IV 492536 5726792 0.24 debris 4.4 0.6 striping; shadow at 'wrong' disturbances or sco side; S0747 A41096\_SH\_NavMerged 490928 5726258 0.37 Found parallel structure; granular no signs of morpho IV 1.4 debris 4 hard reflection; no clear disturbances or sco shadow; elongated contact; hard reflection; no clear shadow; S0771 A41086\_SH\_NavMerged 492113 slight distortion of s IV 5725626 6.7 1.1 0.37 debris Found



tion Periplus A	rcheomare		
	MAG	Interpretation	Remark
nological		no object	seabed
couring;			disturbance
nological		natural	seabed
ouring;		phenomenon	disturbance
nological couring;		natural phenomenon	
seabed;		unknown object	









# Appendix 2. Description of selected side scan sonar contacts



1	SSS-WFS1-192	62-6200	506266	5733300	72.2	3.5	HNM	Contact	Linear contact	SSS:
1	SSS-WFS1-148	82-8200	504868	5728443	30.7	0.0	HNM	Object	Linear object	
1	SSS-WFS1-147	81-8100	504883	5728468	25.2	0.0	HNM	Object	Linear object	









	1	SSS-WFS1-209	85-8500	506498	5729838	12.0	0.0	HNM	Object	Possible cable	
-	1	SSS-WFS1-206	71-7100	504039	5729040	11.2	9.6	HNM	Contact	Unknown contact	
	1	SSS-WFS1-215	106-10600	507120	5727249	11.2	6.7	0.3	Object	Unknown object	









	1 SSS-WFS1-160	46-4600	502599	5731471	10.3	4.2	HNM	Contact	Unknown contact	
	1 SSS-WFS1-213	97-9700	507112	5728983	9.9	6.7	HNM	Object	Unknown object	
-	1 SSS-WFS1-088	4-400	500309	5735055	9.1	5.6	HNM	Contact	Unknown contact	









1	SSS-WFS1-182	56-5600	504596	5732378	8.6	3.3	HNM	Contact	Unknown contact	
1		1-100	498189	5733039	8.4	2.0	HNM	Contact	Unknown contact	
1	SSS-WFS1-087	3-300	497616	5731775	7.6	6.6	HNM	Contact	Unknown contact	









	1	SSS-WFS1-210	94-9400	508250	5730893	7.1	0.0	0.4	Object	Unknown object	
-	1	SSS-WFS1-207	73-7300	505206	5730425	6.5	6.5	HNM	Contact	Unknown contact	
	1	SSS-WFS1-219	42-4200	501318	5730431	6.3	1.6	0.4	Object	Unknown object	









1	SSS-WFS1-208	73-7300	506192	5731747	6.2	1.6	HNM	Contact	Unknown contact	
1	SSS-WFS1-212	96-9600	507631	5729863	5.3	0.9	0.1	Object	Unknown object	
1	SSS-WFS1-214	98-9800	506966	5728307	5.1	0.8	HNM	Object	Unknown object	









-	Thing failin Zonoo Boild									
1 SSS-V	VFS1-211	95-9500	507520	5729519	5.0	0.8	0.1	Object	Unknown object	
	VFS1-158	41-4100	505827	5736186	4.5	0.9	HNM	Contact	Linear contact	
1 SSS-V	VFS1-204	65-6500	506606	5733314	4.1	3.5	0.5	Object	Unknown object	









2	SSS-WFS2-556	98-9800	503839	5724574	32.2	16.6	HNM	Object	Unknown object	
2	SSS-WFS2-690	146-14600	504295	5717381	23.5	0.7	HNM	Contact	Linear contact	
2	SSS-WFS2-686	145-14500	507686	5721598	22.6	21.7	1.3	Object	Unknown object	









2	SSS-WFS2-543	92-9200	503066	5724571	20.9	6.8	HNM	Object	Unknown object	
2		166-16600	504144	5713956	20.1	5.3	HNM	Contact	Unknown contact	
2	SSS-WFS2-642	124-12400	503678	5719878	18.1	4.9	0.5	Object	Unknown object	









2	SSS-WFS2-614	114-11400	507484	5726412	18.0	8.9	0.1	Object	Unknown object	
2	SSS-WFS2-701	139-13900	505391	5719631	17.5	0.7	HNM	Contact	Linear contact	
2	SSS-WFS2-593	110-11000	505246	5724461	17.2	4.1	0.4	Object	Unknown object	



	SSS: hard granular reflections only; no shadows;
	MAG: no anomalies tagged; PP: natural phenomenon; possible exposed shell bed;
	SSS: hard reflections only; no shadows;
$\phi = \phi_{ij} \phi_{ij}$	MAG: no anomalies tagged;
Call Martin	PP: natural phenomenon; possible exposed
1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	shells parallel to sediment ripple;
1. 1. 1999	
Sec. 2 5.11	
a na isi	
1119	
topy -	
7	
	SSS: elongated ridge partly with hard
	reflection;
San Pro	MBES: structure part of natural morphology;
S. 1047	MAG: no anomalies tagged;
	PP: natural phenomenon; sand ridge; possibly with shell cover;
1. An	
5. 3 6	
Sec.	





2 SSS-WFS2-608	113-11300	506973	5726202	17.2	10.5	HNM	Object	Unknown object	
2 SSS-WFS2-663	132-13200	503845	5719109	16.2	6.7	0.2	Object	Unknown object	
2 SSS-WFS2-566	102-10200	502310	5721970	16.0	0.0	HNM	Object	Possible cable	







2	SSS-WFS2-689	145-14500	507118	5720943	13.8	7.2	1.3	Object	Unknown object	
2	SSS-WFS2-575	104-10400	504271	5724122	13.4	5.8	0.1	Object	Unknown object	
2	SSS-WFS2-625	117-11700	506201	5724509	13.2	5.2	0.1	Object	Possible cable	









SSS-WFS2-588	108-10800	503394	5722152	12.9	0.0	HNM	Object	Unknown object	
SSS-WFS2-508	65-6500	502766	5728601	12.1	10.9	HNM	Contact	Unknown contact	
SSS-WFS2-590	109-10900	502155	5720394	11.8	1.6	0.2	Object	Unknown object	









2	SSS-WFS2-661	130-13000	506807	5723148	10.9	0.0	0.5	Object	Possible cable	
2	SSS-WFS2-694	138-13800	502537	5716328	10.8	5.1	HNM	Object	Unknown object	
2	SSS-WFS2-594	110-11000	502931	5721160	10.6	6.8	0.2	Object	Unknown object	









2	SSS-WFS2-637	122-12200	507096	5724794	10.3	8.9	0.1	Object	Unknown object	
2		116-11600	506684	5725077	10.1	6.5	0.2	Object	Unknown object	
2	SSS-WFS2-638	123-12300	507623	5725422	10.0	1.1	0.1	Object	Unknown object	







2	SSS-WFS2-676	160-16000	504400	5715257	9.2	0.0	HNM	Contact	Linear contact	
2		149-14900	507678	5720970	9.0	3.2	0.5	Object	Unknown object	
2	SSS-WFS2-555	97-9700	502476	5723012	8.0	0.0	HNM	Object	Possible cable	









2	SSS-WFS2-570	103-10300	502363	5721952	8.0	0.0	HNM	Object	possible cable	
2	SSS-WFS2-671	163-16300	504486	5714526	7.8	3.2	HNM	Object	Unknown object	
2	SSS-WFS2-583	106-10600	501740	5720338	7.2	0.0	0.3	Object	Possible Cable	









2 SSS-WFS2-576	104-10400	505944	5726013	7.0	2.3	0.2	Object	Unknown object	
2 SSS-WFS2-666	168-16800	504656	5714197	6.9	2.9	0.4	Contact	Unknown contact	
2 SSS-WFS2-568	102-10200	501703	5720952	6.7	0.7	0.1	Object	Unknown object	



	SSS: elongated contact; granular reflection; faint shadow;
	MAG: no anomalies tagged:
	MBES: no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;
	PP: natural phenomenon; possible shell ridge;
9.4.22.	SSS: isolated contact; reflection very alike
	surrounding seabed; MAG: no anomaly tagged; MBES: no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;
	PP: natural phenomenon; possible outcrop of clay/peat;
	SSS: clear reflection; no clear shadow; MAG: no anomaly tagged; MBES: no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; top of sand wave crest; PP: natural phenomenon;





2 SSS-WFS2-504	144-14400	504187	5717344	6.6	3.6	0.9	Contact	Unknown contact	
2 SSS-WFS2-553	96-9600	503040	5723920	6.5	0.9	HNM	Object	Unknown object	
2 SSS-WFS2-574	104-10400	503626	5723364	6.4	1.7	0.1	Object	Unknown object	









2	SSS-WFS2-571	103-10300	504337	5724149	6.0	1.1	HNM	Object	Unknown object			
2	SSS-WFS2-587	108-10800	502616	5721345	6.0	2.5	HNM	Object	Unknown object			
2	SSS-WFS2-609	113-11300	507492	5726863	6.0	5.3	HNM	Object	Unknown object			









2	SSS-WFS2-622	116-11600	502587	5719834	5.6	3.7	0.2	Object	Unknown object	
2	SSS-WFS2-634	121-12100	505232	5722445	5.3	1.2	0.2	Object	Unknown object	
2	SSS-WFS2-610	112-11200	503923	5722190	5.2	1.5	0.5	Anchor	Contact	



SSS: very clear isolated reflective zone; no shadow; MBES: no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; MAG: no anomalies tagged; PP: natural phenomenon;possible shell layer;
SSS: rectangular contact; clear reflection and shadow; MBES: isolated elevated patch; L=3.0; W=1.5m; H=0.6m; MAG: no anomalies tagged; PP: unknown object; man-made;
SSS: isolated v-shaped contact clear reflection and shadow; amidst depression; MBES: slighty elevated structure perpendicular to natural current ripple; L=4.5m; W=2.4m; H=0.4m; MAG: no anomalies tagged; PP: man-made object; possibly anchor;





2	SSS-WFS2-641	124-12400	504186	5720832	5.2	0.4	0.1	Object	Unknown object	
2	SSS-WFS2-662	131-13100	502779	5717603	5.2	1.8	0.1	Object	Unknown object	
2	SSS-WFS2-639	123-12300	506766	5724070	5.1	0.7	0.2	Object	Unknown object	








2	SSS-WFS2-640	124-12400	504196	5720847	5.1	0.6	0.2	Object	Unknown object	
2		110-11000	505166	5724309	4.9	1.6	0.3	Object	Unknown object	
2	SSS-WFS2-552	94-9400	503159	5724103	4.6	3.5	0.4	Object	Unknown object	



SSS: intermitted linear contact; clear reflection and shadow; cable or pipeline; MBES: no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; clear megaripples; MAG: no anomalies tagged; PP: cable or pipeline; partly buried under mega-ripples; NOTE: Refer to SSS-WFS2-641
SSS: sigmoidal shaped contact; much disturbance on SSS record; MBES: no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring;at crest of sand wave; MAG: no anomalies tagged; PP: natural phenomenon; current ripple superposed on sand wave;
SSS: spherical contact; hard reflection; clear shadow; MBES: spherical structure surrounded by scoured area on top of sand wave; MAG: PP: unknown object; man-made;





2	SSS-WFS2-699	140-14000	503958	5717728	4.6	2.7	0.8	Object	Unknown object	
2	SSS-WFS2-680	154-15400	507854	5720603	4.5	2.1	0.5	Object	Unknown object	
2	SSS-WFS2-604	112-11200	505654	5724632	4.3	2.0	0.2	Object	Unknown object	









		33010								
2	SSS-WFS2-679	155-15500	507335	5719586	4.3	0.0	HNM	Object	Linear object	
2		100-10000	503685	5724073	4.1	1.4	HNM	Object	Unknown object	
2	SSS-WFS2-651	126-12600	506333	5723211	4.1	1.0	0.1	Object	Unknown object	









2 SSS-WFS2-658	129-12900	505091	5720960	4.1	0.9	0.3	Object	Unknown object	
3 S0823	A31074_SH_Nav Merged	493047	5724239	9.7	0.2	0.1	linear debris	Cable?	Ó SO82
3 S0832	A3SB016_SH_Na vMerged	498854	5723863	9.4	0.6	0.2	debris		O SO832









	00504	A 0 4005 011 11	400400	5700000			0.4		
3	S0584	A34025_SH_Nav Merged	499132	5728668	9.1	0.4	0.1	linear debris	O SO584
3	S0734	A31070_SH_Nav Merged	495465	5726433	8.2	0.4	0.1	linear debris	O SO734
3	S0883	A31004_SH_701_ NavMerged	501158	5716728	7.8	1.4	0.7	debris	









3 S0515	A31083_SH_Nav Merged	495958	5729695	7.8	1.5	0.6	debris	Possibly natural	S0515
3 S0822	A34030_SH_Nav Merged	492968	5724263	7.8	0.4	0.1	linear debris		Q \$0822
3 S0766	A31083_SH_Nav Merged	492759	5725662	7.5	1.4	0.0	debris		O SO766









3 S0530	A34026_SH_Nav Merged	499158	5729455	6.9	0.3	0.4	linear debris		O \$0530
3 S0651	A31080_SH_Nav Merged	495127	5727898	6.7	1.5	0.3	linear debris		@ S0651
3 S0870	A31018_SH_Nav Merged	500805	5719203	6.5	1.0	0.1	debris	Linear debris	© \$0870









	<u>.</u>								
3	\$0820	A31076_SH_Nav Merged	492956	5724410	6.4	0.8	0.2	debris	<u>o</u> sos
3	S0514	A34029_SH_Nav Merged	497935	5729694	6.4	0.3	0.1	debris	O S0514
3	S0835	A34026_SH_Nav Merged	494518	5723655	6.2	0.3	0.1	linear debris	Q \$0835



	SSS: rectangular contact; clear reflection and
Killer Hol	shadow;
Harden	MBES: no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; at crest of sand
ATTA SASSIST	wave:
THE PARTY STREET	MAG: no anomalies tagged;
	PP: unknown object;
20	
NOT STOL	
EAVER SEE BUILD	
P.M. Martin	
autoroffs Autoroffser Brits men f	
	SSS: linear contact; thin reflection; clear shadow;
	MBES: no signs of morphological
and the second second	disturbances or scouring; at crest of sand
	wave;
and Ste	MAG: no anomalies tagged; PP: possible rope or cable;
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	PP. possible tope of cable,
A CALL AND A CALL	
and the second	
- suggested as	
and the second	
a la sala fara a sa	
Contraction of the second	SSS: spherical contact combined with linear
and the second second	structure; MBES: scouring around apparently two parallel
	elongated contacts; L=2.3m; W=1.0m;
	H=0.2m;
The Part of the Part of the	MAG: no anomalies tagged;
	PP: unknown object; man-made;
i dente a la companya de la companya	
A State Stat	
The second se	
Min all all all	
All and a second second	
Warden Commen	





3	S0856	UHRINF012_SH2 _NavMerged	496837	5722354	6.1	0.7	0.1	linear debris	Possible exposure UK - NL11	© \$0856
	S0756	A31071_SH_Nav Merged	495006	5726044	6.1	0.4	0.0	linear debris		
3	\$0803	A31074_SH_Nav Merged	493836	5725020	5.7	0.2	0.1	linear debris		









3 S0876	A31013_SH_Nav Merged	500627	5718182	5.7	3.6	0.9	debris	Ö soa
3 S0628	A31047_SH_Nav Merged	500796	5727961	5.5	0.9	0.6	debris	O S0628
3 S0799	A31079_SH_Nav Merged	492883	5725108	5.4	0.3	0.1	linear debris	© soraa









3	S0806	A3SB008_SH_Na vMerged	500498	5724978	5.1	0.5	0.1	linear debris	SSS: linear contact; thin reflection and shadow; MBES: no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; near sand wave crest; MAG: no anomalies tagged; PP: possible cable;
	S0817	A31040_SH_Nav Merged	501240	5724590	5.0	0.8	0.2	debris	SSS: rounded contact; small shadow; MBES: slight depression near tagged contact; MÄG: no anolmalies tagged; PP: unknown object;
3	S0770	A31074_SH_Nav Merged	494168	5725635	5.0	0.9	0.2	debris	SSS: elongated contact; moderate reflection; clear shadow; MBES: slight depression near tagged contact; MÄG: no anolmalies tagged; PP: unknown object; S0770







3	S0550	A34020_SH_706_ NavMerged	502088	5729145	4.7	3.3	0.6	debris	© 5077
3	S0531	A34026_SH_Nav Merged	499141	5729450	4.6	0.5	0.3	debris	O \$0531
3	S0837	UHRINF008_SH2 _NavMerged	501967	5723531	4.6	0.3	0.1	debris	os









3	S0854	A31053_SH_Nav Merged	495444	5722543	4.4	0.5	0.0	linear debris		© \$0854
3	S0882	A31008_SH_701_ NavMerged	500762	5717072	4.4	1.5	0.3	debris	Possibly natural	O SOBBI
3	S0788	A34033_SH_Nav Merged	492291	5725316	4.4	0.8	0.4	debris		<b>S0788</b>









3	S0753	A31041_SH_Nav Merged	496010	5726093	4.4	2.0	0.7	debris	O 50753
	S0844	A31050_SH_Nav Merged	496527	5723244	4.4	0.3	0.1	debris	O S0844
3	\$0880	A31011_SH_Nav Merged	500897	5717838	4.2	0.7	0.0	debris	Q \$0880



1	
	SSS: depression; hard reflection at 'wrong'
And the state of the second	side;
A SHARE AND AND	MBES: no signs of morphological
	disturbances or scouring;
	MAG: no anomalies tagged;
and the second second	PP: possible small buried object;
and the second	
and the second	
and the second	
P. A. A. OVER STATE	
Specific Heard	
ALL ALL A	
APPending of the Article Arts	
and the second second	
and the second second	
a Justin Statis	
The second second	SSS: linear contact; thin reflection and
	shadow;;
	MBES: no signs of morphological
	disturbances or scouring;
	MAG: no anomalies tagged;
	PP: piece of cable
STA CORRECT	
Ange-	
Man Hill States	
A STATE OF STATE	
Planter	
Sales and	
Start Start -	
The Sugarant V	
	SSS: V-shaped contact; clear reflection; no
	shadow;
And the second second	MBES: no signs of morphological
	disturbances or scouring;
Alexand &	MAG: no anomalies tagged;
A CLANDER MUT	PP: unknown object;
An The Design of	
P SHANCH	
AND THE REAL	





<u></u>								
3 S0772	A31060_SH_Nav Merged	496602	5725620	4.2	0.3	0.1	linear debris	O \$0772
3 S0807	A34032_SH_Nav Merged	492489	5724926	4.1	0.9	0.3	debris	© \$0807
3 S0859	A31035_SH_Nav Merged	499884	5721702	4.0	4.0	0.4	debris	© \$0859









4	S0014	A41126_SH_Nav Merged	493503	5735724	6.6	0.2	0.2	debris	
	S0023	A41100_SH_Nav Merged	497543	5735317	7.4	0.4	0.1	linear debris	
4	S0030	A44048_SH_Nav Merged	492593	5735201	4.7	0.2	0.1	linear debris	









4	S0058	A41136_SH_Nav Merged	490842	5734633	5.0	0.4	0.3	linear debris	
4	S0078	A41137_SH_Nav Merged	490249	5734053	5.7	0.3	0.1	linear debris	Distance in the second se
4	S0085	A44053_SH_Nav Merged	488983	5733931	7.9	0.7	0.2	debris	D SD085









4	S0087	A41087_SH_Nav Merged	498728	5733897	6.2	0.8	0.1	linear debris	O S0087
4	S0095	A41121_SH_Nav Merged	492881	5733792	4.2	2.0	0.3	debris	
4	S0101	A41097_SH_Nav Merged	496895	5733669	4.1	0.4	0.1	linear debris	O \$0101









4	S0106	UHRINF006_SH2 _NavMerged	493817	5733541	4.5	0.5	0.6	debris	0 \$0106
	S0111	A41097_SH_Nav Merged	496676	5733448	5.1	1.5	0.3	linear debris	05011
4	S0129	A44044_SH_Nav Merged	492874	5733194	6.4	0.6	0.4	linear debris	Q \$0129









4	S0145	A44059_SH_701_ NavMerged	485059	5732938	4.6	0.6	0.4	debris		GS0145
4	S0156	A41160_SH_701_ NavMerged	485206	5732755	5.8	0.9	0.4	linear debris		<b>5</b> .50156
4	S0157	A44051_SH_Nav Merged	488868	5732745	6.1	0.3	0.1	debris	linear debris. Possibly natural	









			1	1			1	1	
4	S0174	A41155_SH_Nav Merged	485900	5732572	4.2	0.8	0.3	debris	© SO174
4		A3A4XL002_SH2 _NavMerged	487882	5732433	6.0	0.8	0.7	debris	Ø\$0189
4	S0223	A44059_SH_701_ NavMerged	484469	5732223	5.5	0.6	0.1	linear debris	O SO223









S0235	A41154_SH_Nav Merged	485810	5732162	7.7	1.7	0.3	debris	O SO235
	A44056_SH_Nav Merged	485819	5732119	4.0	0.4	0.4	linear debris	0-0246
S0261	A44056_SH_Nav Merged	485854	5732066	4.6	0.6	0.6	debris	0.60261









4	80275	AAAAEA OLI Net	486085	572204 <i>E</i>	6.5	0.4	0.1	linear debris	1	
4	S0275	A41151_SH_Nav Merged		5732015						
4	S0279	A41152_SH_Nav Merged	486081	5732002	5.3	0.5	0.0	debris		
4	\$0282	A41152_SH_Nav Merged	486069	5731991	35.5	0.3	0.1	debris		O SO282



SSS: intermitted linear contact; thin reflection and shadow; MBES: no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; MAG: no anomalies tagged; proximate to anomalies tagged and interpreted to represent UK_NL8 PP: cable; coherent with S0279 and S0282;
SSS: linear contact; thin reflection no clear shadow; MBES: no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; MAG: no anomalies tagged; proximate to anomalies tagged and interpreted to represent UK_NL8 PP: cable; coherent with S0275 and S0282
SSS: intermitted linear contact; thin reflection and shadow; MBES: no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; MAG: no anomalies tagged; proximate to anomalies tagged and interpreted to represent UK_NL8 PP: cable; coherent with S0279 and S0275





4	S0285	A44056_SH_Nav Merged	485814	5731986	5.9	1.5	0.2	debris	O SO285
4	S0301	A44056_SH_Nav Merged	485778	5731892	5.6	0.6	0.1	debris	© \$0301
4	S0308	A41137_SH_Nav Merged	488492	5731867	5.6	0.6	0.5	debris	© \$0308









4	S0311	A44044_SH_Nav Merged	491912	5731850	7.2	0.4	0.0	linear debris	O SO311
4	S0313	A41147_SH_Nav Merged	486887	5731855	5.0	0.4	0.2	debris	
4	S0343	A44038_SH1_Na vMerged	494861	5731658	5.5	1.8	1.0	debris	Ōs









4	S0347	A44057_SH_Nav Merged	485065	5731650	4.5	0.5	0.2	debris	O SO347
4	S0351	A41144_SH_Nav Merged	487081	5731637	4.9	1.3	0.2	debris	
4	S0364	A41157_SH_701_ NavMerged	484808	5731513	4.5	0.6	0.3	debris	<b>b</b> .s0364









4	S0377	A41109_SH_Nav Merged	492851	5731262	6.7	1.3	0.4	debris	<u>o</u> sost
	S0387	A41096_SH_Nav Merged	495084	5731177	4.6	1.3	1.0	debris	O S038
4	S0434	A41127_SH_Nav Merged	489300	5730841	4.4	1.4	0.3	debris	© \$0434









4		A41123_SH_Nav Merged	489889	5730655	4.5	0.4	0.2	linear debris	
4		A44043_SH_Nav Merged	491228	5730571	6.4	0.6	0.2	debris	O \$0448
4	S0501	A41109_SH_Nav Merged	491524	5729795	4.4	1.0	1.0	debris	S050









	ia iaini zonoo Boit								
4 S0506		A41097_SH_Nav Merged	493741	5729763	4.2	1.2	0.3	debris	
4 S0572		A41117_SH_Nav Merged	489425	5728827	4.8	0.4	0.1	linear debris	D \$0572
¥ S0581		A41097_SH_Nav Merged	492835	5728711	7.0	1.1	0.0	linear debris	① S0581



⊙ \$05(	SSS: spherical contact; moderate reflection; no clear shadow; MBES: elevated contact amidst scouring area; L=4.2m; W=3.4m; H=0.6m; boulder like appearance; MAG: no anomalies tagged; PP: unknown object;
	SSS: linger contact: thin reflection: clim
	SSS: linear contact; thin reflection; slim shadow; MBES: no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; MAG: no anomalies tagged; PP: cable;
	SSS: elongated zone; moderately hard granular reflection; no shadow; MBES: no signs of morphological disturbances or scouring; MAG: no anomalies tagged; PP: natural phenomenon; possible shell layer;





	4 S0587	A41109_SH_N Merged	av 490660	5728608	4.7	0.9	0.8	debris	© \$0587
$\vdash$	4 S0590		av 490260	5728567	4.3	0.9	0.3	debris	
		Merged							© \$0590
	4 S0602	A41119_SH_N Merged	av 488809	5728342	6.8	0.8	0.4	debris	<sup>1</sup> O \$0602









	S0612	A41099_SH_Nav Merged	492044	5728217	6.5	1.4	1.4	debris	
2		A41111_SH_Nav Merged	489742	5727968	4.1	1.4	0.4	debris	Ó \$0630
2	S0671	A44042_SH_Nav Merged	489603	5727700	6.2	0.7	0.3	linear debris	









4	S0688	A41107_SH_Nav Merged	489958	5727405	9.0	4.3	1.3	debris	
	S0693	A41105_SH_Nav Merged	490358	5727289	4.3	1.2	0.5	debris	Source and the second s
4	\$0709	A41088_SH1_Na vMerged	492863	5726996	4.3	0.4	0.1	linear debris	() S0709









4	S0721	A44039_SH_Nav Merged	490376	5726803	5.5	0.5	0.1	linear debris	@ S0721
4		A41090_SH_Nav Merged	492536	5726792	4.4	0.6	0.2	debris	
4	S0747	A41096_SH_Nav Merged	490928	5726258	4.0	1.4	0.4	debris	0 5074X



	SSS: elongated structure; shadow at 'wrong' side;
The Port Steam of the	MBES: no signs of morphological
an an an an an an	disturbances or scouring;
	MAG: no anomalies tagged;
The second second	PP: no contact; seabed disturbance;
WDZ= YS TO I S OT	
There are a second	
Name of the second s	
Carlos and and	
1 10 11 1 10	
Tering State Victor	
A MORTHNER P	
CONTRACT.	
an a	SSS: poor quality SSS-record; striping;
a All All PARK Co	shadow at 'wrong' side;
and the second second second	MBES: no signs of morphological
	disturbances or scouring;
and and a second	MAG: no anomalies tagged;
	PP: natural phenomenon; seabed disturbance;
Same in the and	
Constant a Taxaborca	
Alexandra and all and a second	
COLUMN BOARD	
A. A	
Constant Collins	
	SSS: parallel structure; granular hard
	reflection; no clear shadow;
	MBES: no signs of morphological
	disturbances or scouring; MAG: no anomalies tagged;
	PP: natural phenomenon;
and the second second	
and the second se	





4		A41086_SH_Nav Merged	492113	5725626	6.7	1.1	0.4	debris	© \$077
4	S0772	A31060_SH_NavMerged	496602	5725620	4.2	0.3	0.1	linear debris	<b>O</b> S0772













Appendix 3. Listing of selected magnetometer contacts larger than 50 nanoTesla



# Archaeological assessment

Wind farm zones Borssele

BWFS	ID	Survey_line	x	Y	amplitudenT_	width m	Anomaly_type	SSS	Category	Description	Related txt file
	WFS1-MAG-109	24-2400	<b>x</b> 504443	5737296	58	30	neg. monopole	333	category	Description	24-2400
· ·	WFS1-MAG-145	55-5500	504157	5731873	67	45	dipole				55-5500
	WFS1-MAG-152	81-8100	506398	5730519	63	25	pos. monopole				81-8100
II	MAG-WFS2-215	86-8600	503450	5725921	58	40	neg. monopole				86-8600
	MAG-WFS2-242	127-12700	506342	5722961	56	30	dipole				127-12700
	MAG-WFS2-243	143-14300	508300	5722884	51	210	dipole			Poss. ID MAG-WFS2-241	143-14300
	MAG-WFS2-273	122-12200	501350	5717369	83	20	dipole				122-12200
II	MAG-WFS2-278	162-16200	505707	5716443	87	15	pos. monopole				162-16200
II	MAG-WFS2-280	162-16200	505551	5716230	70	20	dipole				162-16200
	MAG-WFS2-291	162-16200	504278	5714606	79	50	dipole				162-16200
III	MAG-WFS3-M0077		499030	5727387	51.79	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
III	MAG-WFS3-M0109		498740	5731452	69.66	0	Negative Monopole			unknown/debris	
	MAG-WFS3-M0548		496108	5725828	70.87	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
	MAG-WFS3-M0654		496665	5728777	56.44	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
	MAG-WFS3-M1361		496236	5724881	63.78	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
	MAG-WFS3-M1433		500192	5722608	54.38	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
III	MAG-WFS3-M1448		499694	5718689	53.16	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
	MAG-WFS3-M1472		502767	5728042	76.57	0	Dipole	+		unknown/debris	<u> </u>
	MAG-WFS3-M1505		500989	5723456	50.45	0	Dipole	+		unknown/debris	<u> </u>
	MAG-WFS3-M1634		500575	5719594	59.2	0	Dipole	+		unknown/debris	<u> </u>
	MAG-WFS3-M1644		501018	5719357	56.03	0	Dipole	+		unknown linear target 2	
	MAG-WFS3-M1663		499909	5717058	74.51	0	Dipole	+		unknown/debris	<u> </u>
	MAG-WFS3-M1687		502130	5728959	62.28	0	Dipole	+ $+$		unknown/debris	<u> </u>
IV	MAG-WFS4-M0015		492164	5727797	89.27	0	Dipole	+ $+$		unknown/debris	<u> </u>
IV	MAG-WFS4-M0024		497745	5734628	97.33	0	Dipole	_		unknown/debris	+
IV	MAG-WFS4-M0125		496555	5731943	60.15	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
IV	MAG-WFS4-M0128		495895	5731127 5732830	50.21	0	Negative Monopole			unknown/debris	
IV	MAG-WFS4-M0144 MAG-WFS4-M0196		494708 496140	5732830	62.94 95.37	0	Dipole Dipole			unknown/debris	
IV IV	MAG-WFS4-M0196 MAG-WFS4-M0207		496140	5736173		0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
IV	MAG-WFS4-M0207 MAG-WFS4-M0208		497957	5736173	60.13 50.41	0	Dipole			unknown/debris unknown/debris	
IV	MAG-WFS4-M0208 MAG-WFS4-M0266		497909	5731689	50.94	0	Positive Monopole			unknown/debris	
IV	MAG-WFS4-M0267		493295	5733490	81.43	0	Positive Monopole			unknown/debris	
IV	MAG-WFS4-M0376		485232	5731956	63.59	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	+
IV	MAG-WFS4-M0635		495951	5732230	72.53	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
IV	MAG-WFS4-M0721		489534	5732163	53.56	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
IV	MAG-WFS4-M0930		493594	5731137	72.82	0	Positive Monopole			unknown/debris	
IV	MAG-WFS4-M0946		492182	5728796	52.62	0	Positive Monopole			unknown/debris	+
IV	MAG-WFS4-M0958		498457	5735988	61.67	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
IV	MAG-WFS4-M1095		495793	5734020	65.81	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	1
IV	MAG-WFS4-M1096		494060	5731892	91.58	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
IV	MAG-WFS4-M1097			5732028	82.72	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
II	MAG-WFS2-276	162-16200	505844		458	40					162-16200
	MAG-WFS3-M0089		496456	5726128	114.24	0	Negative Monopole			unknown/debris	
	MAG-WFS3-M0107		496673	5728910	521.03	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
	MAG-WFS3-M0536		494772	5726084	179.46	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
III	MAG-WFS3-M0797		498414	5723436	104.72	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
	MAG-WFS3-M1437		497846	5719926	132.8	0	Positive Monopole			unknown/debris	
	MAG-WFS3-M1447		499013	5717895	267.66	0	Dipole			unknown/debris - possible wreck 1693	
	MAG-WFS3-M1498		498416	5723439	198.29	0	Negative Monopole			unknown/debris	
	MAG-WFS3-M1502		499951	5724082	108.97	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
	MAG-WFS3-M1624		499129	5718294	285.98	0	Dipole			unknown/debris - possible wreck 1693	
	MAG-WFS3-M1629		499710	5718404	229.4	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
	MAG-WFS3-M1669		500770	5717640	107.74	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
IV	MAG-WFS4-M0001		493426	5728711	146.53	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
IV	MAG-WFS4-M0131		492159	5729695	102.88	0	Negative Monopole			unknown/debris	
IV	MAG-WFS4-M0134		492796	5730483	144.36	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
IV	MAG-WFS4-M0189		496624	5735790	173.52	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
IV	MAG-WFS4-M0198		496355	5734211	191.11	0	Dipole			unknown/debris	
IV	MAG-WFS4-M0862		489142		211.5	0	Dipole			unknown/debris - possible wreck 3644	
IV	MAG-WFS4-M1004		499750	5737279	102.7	0	Dipole	+		possible mine	
IV	MAG-WFS4-M1087		496331	5734330	118	0	Dipole	+		unknown/debris	<u> </u>
IV	MAG-WFS4-M1123		494970	5733297	107.55	0	Dipole	+		unknown/debris	
	MAG-WFS3-M1669		500770	5717640	107.74	0	Dipole	+		unknown/debris	
	MAG-WFS3-M0107	i .	496673	5728910	521.03	0	Dipole	1 1		unknown/debris	1











The creative commons license 4.0 apply to this material.

This investigation was carried out by Periplus Archeomare, commissioned by RVO.nl, an agency of the Ministry of Economic Affairs. Whilst a great deal of care has been taken in compiling the contents of this investigation, RVO.nl can not be held liable for any damages resulting from any inaccuracies and/or outdated information.

Contacts Netherlands Enterprise Agency (RVO.nl) Croeselaan 15 | 3521 BJ | Utrecht P.O. Box 8242 | 3503 RE | Utrecht www.rvo.nl / http://english.rvo.nl

Netherlands Enterprise Agency (RVO.nl) | June 2016